arXiv:1512.06319v2 [math-ph] 12 Apr 2016

Dynamics for QCD on an infinite lattice

Hendrik Grundling
Department of Mathematics,
University of New South Wales,
Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia.
hendrik@maths.unsw.edu.au
FAX: +61-2-93857123

Gerd Rudolph
Institut für Theoretische Physik,
Universität Leipzig,
Postfach 100 920, D-4109 Leipzig.
rudolph@rz.uni-leipzig.de
FAX: +49-341-9732548

April 13, 2016

Abstract

We prove the existence of the dynamics automorphism group for Hamiltonian QCD on an infinite lattice in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and this is done in a C*-algebraic context. The existence of ground states is also obtained. Starting with the finite lattice model for Hamiltonian QCD developed by Kijowski, Rudolph (cf. [15, 16]), we state its field algebra and a natural representation. We then generalize this representation to the infinite lattice, and construct a Hilbert space which has represented on it all the local algebras (i.e. kinematics algebras associated with finite connected sublattices) equipped with the correct graded commutation relations. On a suitably large C*-algebra acting on this Hilbert space, and containing all the local algebras, we prove that there is a one parameter automorphism group, which is the pointwise norm limit of the local time evolutions along a sequence of finite sublattices, increasing to the full lattice. This is our global time evolution. We then take as our field algebra the C*-algebra generated by all the orbits of the local algebras w.r.t. the global time evolution. Thus the time evolution creates the field algebra. The time evolution is strongly continuous on this choice of field algebra, though not on the original larger C*-algebra. We define the gauge transformations, explain how to enforce the Gauss law constraint, show that the dynamics automorphism group descends to the algebra of physical observables and prove that gauge invariant ground states exist.

1 Introduction

In a previous paper ([9]) we constructed in a C*-algebraic context a suitable field algebra which can model the kinematics of Hamiltonian QCD on an infinite lattice in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It was based on the finite lattice model for Hamiltonian QCD developed by Kijowski, Rudolph (cf. [15, 16]). We did not consider dynamics, and the construction and analysis of the dynamics for QCD on an infinite lattice is the main problem which we want to address in this paper. For reasons to be explained, we will not here directly use the C*-algebra which we constructed before for dynamics construction, but will follow a different approach.

Whilst the algebra constructed in [9] contained all the information of the gauge structures required, and its representation space contained the physical representations, it suffered from the following defects.

- The true local algebras (i.e. the kinematics algebras for the model on finite sublattices) were not subalgebras of the constructed kinematics algebra. The kinematics algebra of [9] did contain isomorphic copies of the local algebras, whose multiplier algebras contained the local algebras, but these did not satisfy local graded-commutativity. That is, they did not graded-commute if they corresponded to disjoint parts of the lattice, unlike the true local algebras. This was due to a novel form of the infinite tensor product of nonunital algebras, where approximate identities replaced the identity in the infinite "tails" of the tensor products.

- As a consequence of this infinite tensor product, current methods of defining dynamics on lattice systems by suitable limits of the local dynamics did not apply, which made it very hard to construct dynamics for the full system.

Due to these problems, especially the latter one, we will here extend our focus to the multiplier algebra of our previous kinematics field algebra, and build an appropriate new kinematics field algebra in this setting. Our strategy will be to define a dynamics on a concrete C*-algebra which is "maximally large" in the sense that it contains all the true local kinematics C*-algebras, and it is contained in the multiplier algebra of our previous kinematics field algebra. We will then take our new kinematics field C*-algebra to be the smallest subalgebra which contains all the true local kinematics C*-algebras, and is preserved w.r.t. the dynamics. Thus, the dynamics itself, will create the kinematics algebra for the system. The methods we use for the proof come from the application and generalization of Lieb–Robinson bounds on lattice systems (cf. [20, 21]). Moreover, we will see that the dynamics is strongly continuous on our new kinematics algebra.

On the algebra we construct here, we are able to define both the dynamics and the gauge transformations of our model. We will prove that the dynamics automorphism group commutes with the action of the group of local gauge transformations, hence the

dynamics automorphism group action descends to the algebra of physical observables. We will prove the existence of gauge invariant ground states, which therefore produces ground states on the algebra of physical observables.

The cost of using this new algebra, is that it contains infinitely many nonphysical representations, so one needs to restrict to the class of appropriate "regular" representations by hand. This should be compared with the use of the Weyl algebra for canonical systems, which contains many nonregular representations. It is a well-known practical necessity for the Weyl algebra to restrict representations by hand to regular representations when one analyzes physical systems. We are able to prove the existence of gauge invariant ground states which are regular.

Whereas each true local kinematics algebra (corresponding to a finite sublattice) has a unique ground state w.r.t. the local time evolution, for the infinite lattice limit, we do not presently have such a uniqueness property. The ground states are the weak *-limit points of a sequence of "partial ground states". This nonuniqueness needs further investigation.

For the finite lattice, in a toy model the spectral problem for the lattice Hamiltonian has been solved exactly, yielding an explicit formula for the unique ground state, cf. [12].

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2.1 we state the model for the finite lattice taken from [15, 16], and give a very natural representation for it. We then generalize this representation for the infinite lattice in Sect. 2.3, and construct a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which has represented on it all the local algebras $\mathfrak{A}_S$ (each associated with a finite sublattice S), with the correct (graded) commutation relations. We then define a conveniently large C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\max}$ acting on this Hilbert space, and containing all the local algebras. In Sect. 3.1, we then define on $\mathcal{A}_{\max}$ the "local automorphism groups" α_t^S, i.e. those produced by the Hamiltonians of the finite sublattices S. Using a Lieb–Robinson bounds argument, we then prove in Sect. 3.2 that for each $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\max}$, that $\alpha_t^S(A)$ converges in norm as S increases to the full lattice, to an element $\alpha_t(A)$, and this defines a one-parameter automorphism group $t \mapsto \alpha_t \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\max})$. This is the global automorphism group, and we use it to define in Sect. 3.3 our chosen minimal field algebra by

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda := C^*\Big(\bigcup_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \alpha_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{A}_S)\Big) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\max} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

We also clarify the relation of $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ with the kinematics algebra previously constructed in [9]. We prove the existence of regular ground states in Sect. 3.4, and in Sect. 4 we define gauge transformations and consider enforcement of the Gauss law constraint.

2 The Kinematics Field Algebra

We consider a model for QCD in the Hamiltonian framework on an infinite regular cubic lattice in $\mathbb{Z}^3$. For basic notions concerning lattice gauge theories including fermions, we refer to [27] and references therein.

We first fix notation. For the lattice, define a triple $\Lambda := (\Lambda^0, \Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)$ as follows:

- $\Lambda^0 := \{(n, m, r) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid n, m, r \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{Z}^3$ i.e. Λ^0 is the unit cubic lattice and its elements are called sites.

- Let $\widetilde{\Lambda}^1$ be the set of all directed edges (or links) between nearest neighbours, i.e.

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}^1 := \{(x, y) \in \Lambda^0 \times \Lambda^0 \mid y = x \pm \mathbf{e}_i \text{ for some } i\}$$

  where the $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are the standard unit basis vectors. Let $\Lambda^1 \subset \widetilde{\Lambda}^1$ denote a choice of orientation of $\widetilde{\Lambda}^1$, i.e. for each $(x, y) \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^1$, Λ^1 contains either (x, y) or (y, x) but not both. Thus the pair (Λ^0, Λ^1) is a directed graph, and we assume that it is connected.

- Let $\widetilde{\Lambda}^2$ be the set of all directed faces (or plaquettes) of the unit cubes comprising the lattice i.e.

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}^2 := \{(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) \in \left(\widetilde{\Lambda}^1\right)^4 \mid Q_2\ell_i = Q_1\ell_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and } Q_2\ell_4 = Q_1\ell_1\}$$

  where $Q_i : \Lambda^0 \times \Lambda^0 \to \Lambda^0$ is the projection onto the i^{th} component. Note that for a plaquette $p = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^2$, it has an orientation given by the order of the edges, and the reverse ordering is $\overline{p} = (\overline{\ell}_4, \overline{\ell}_3, \overline{\ell}_2, \overline{\ell}_1)$ where $\overline{\ell} = (y, x)$ if $\ell = (x, y) \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^1$. In analogy to the last point, we let Λ^2 be a choice of orientation in $\widetilde{\Lambda}^2$.

- If we need to identify the elements of Λ^i with subsets of $\mathbb{R}^3$, we will make the natural identifications, e.g. a link $\ell = (x, y) \in \Lambda^1$ is the undirected closed line segment from x to y.

- We also need to consider subsets of the lattice, so given a connected subgraph $S \subset (\Lambda^0, \Lambda^1)$, we let Λ^0_S be all the vertices in S, $\Lambda^1_S \subset \Lambda^1$ is the set of links which are edges in S, and $\Lambda^2_S \subset \Lambda^2$ is the set of those plaquettes whose sides are all in S.

We recall the lattice approximation on Λ for a classical matter field with a classical gauge connection field acting on it. Fix a connected, compact Lie group G (the gauge structure group), and let $\left(\mathbf{V}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbf{V}}\right)$ be a finite dimensional complex Hilbert space (the space of internal degrees of freedom of the matter field) on which G acts smoothly as unitaries, so we take $G \subset U(\mathbf{V})$. Then the classical matter fields are elements of $\prod_{x \in \Lambda^0} \mathbf{V}$,

on which the local gauge group $\prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} G = G^{\Lambda^0} = \{\zeta : \Lambda^0 \to G\}$ acts by pointwise multiplication. The classical gauge connections are maps $\Phi : \Lambda^1 \to G$, i.e. elements of $\prod_{\ell\in\Lambda^1} G$.

The full classical configuration space is thus $\big(\prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} \mathbf{V}\big)\times\big(\prod_{\ell\in\Lambda^1} G\big)$, and the local gauge group $\prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} G$ acts on it by

$$\big(\prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} v_x\big) \times \big(\prod_{\ell\in\Lambda^1} g_\ell\big) \mapsto \big(\prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} \zeta(x)\cdot v_x\big) \times \big(\prod_{\ell\in\Lambda^1} \zeta(x_\ell)\, g_\ell\, \zeta(y_\ell)^{-1}\big) \tag{2.1}$$

where $\ell = (x_\ell, y_\ell)$ and $\zeta \in \prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} G$. Note that the orientation of links in Λ^1 was used in the action because it treats the x_ℓ and y_ℓ differently.

This is the basic classical kinematical model for which the quantum counterpart is given below for finite lattices.

2.1 The finite lattice model.

In this subsection we want to state the model for finite lattice approximation of Hamiltonian QCD in $\mathbb{R}^3$ developed by Kijowski, Rudolph [15, 16]. A more expanded version of this section is in [9]. This model is based on the model constructed in the classical paper of Kogut [18] (which elaborates the earlier one of Kogut and Susskind [17]).

Fix a finite connected subgraph S, and let $\Lambda_S := (\Lambda^0_S, \Lambda^1_S, \Lambda^2_S)$. For ease of notation, we will omit the subscript S in this section. Given such a finite lattice Λ^0, the model quantizes the classical model on Λ^0 above, by replacing for each lattice site $x \in \Lambda^0$, the classical matter configuration space $\mathbf{V}$ with the algebra for a fermionic particle on $\mathbf{V}$ (the quarks), and for each link $\ell \in \Lambda^1$ we replace the classical connection configuration space G by an algebra which describes a bosonic particle on G (the gluons).

Equip the space of classical matter fields $\prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} \mathbf{V} = \{f : \Lambda^0 \to \mathbf{V}\}$ with the natural pointwise inner product $\langle f, h\rangle = \sum_{x\in\Lambda^0} \big(f(x),\, h(x)\big)_{\mathbf{V}}$, and take for the quantized matter fields the CAR-algebra $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda := \mathrm{CAR}\big(\prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} \mathbf{V}\big)$. That is, for each classical matter field $f \in \prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} \mathbf{V}$, we associate a fermionic field $a(f) \in \mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$, and these satisfy the usual CAR–relations:

$$\{a(f), a(h)^*\} = \langle f, h\rangle \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \{a(f), a(h)\} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad f, h \in \prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} \mathbf{V}$$

where $\{A, B\} := AB + BA$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$ is generated by the set of all $a(f)$. As Λ^0 is finite, $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$ is a full matrix algebra, hence up to unitary equivalence it has only one irreducible representation.

In physics notation, the quark at x is given by $a(\delta_x \mathbf{v}_i) = \psi_i(x)$ where $\{\mathbf{v}_i \mid i = 1, \ldots n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathbf{V}$ and $\delta_x : \Lambda^0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the characteristic function of $\{x\}$. Further indices may be included if necessary, e.g. by putting $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ where $\mathbf{W}$ has non–gauge degrees of freedom (such as the spinor part), and $\mathbb{C}^k$ has the gauge degrees of freedom.

To quantize the classical gauge connection fields $\prod_{\ell \in \Lambda^1} G$, we take for a single link ℓ a bosonic particle on G. This is given in a generalized Schrödinger representation on $L^2(G)$ by the set of operators $\{U_g,\ T_f \mid g \in G,\ f \in L^\infty(G)\}$ where:

$$(U_g\varphi)(h) := \varphi(g^{-1}h) \quad \text{and} \quad \big(T_f\varphi\big)(h) := f(h)\varphi(h) \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi \in L^2(G), \tag{2.2}$$

$g,\, h \in G$ and $f \in L^\infty(G)$, and it is irreducible in the sense that the commutant of $U_G \cup T_{L^\infty(G)}$ consists of the scalars. Note that there is a natural ground state unit vector $\psi_0 \in L^2(G)$ given by the constant function $\psi_0(h) = 1$ for all $h \in G$ (assuming that the Haar measure of G is normalized). Then $U_g\psi_0 = \psi_0$, and ψ_0 is cyclic w.r.t. the *-algebra generated by $U_G \cup T_{L^\infty(G)}$ (by irreducibility).

The generalized canonical commutation relations are obtained from the intertwining relation $U_g T_f U_g^* = T_{\lambda_g(f)}$ where

$$\lambda : G \to \operatorname{Aut} C(G)\,, \quad \lambda_g(f)(h) := f(g^{-1}h) \quad \text{for} \quad g,\, h \in G \tag{2.3}$$

is the usual left translation. In particular, given $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, define its associated momentum operator

$$P_X : C^\infty(G) \to C^\infty(G) \qquad \text{by} \qquad P_X\varphi := i\frac{d}{dt}U(e^{tX})\varphi\Big|_{t=0}\,.$$
$$\text{Then} \qquad \big[P_X,\, T_f\big]\varphi \;=\; iT_{X^R(f)}\varphi \qquad \text{for} \quad f,\, \varphi \in C^\infty(G),$$

where $X^R \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$ is the associated right-invariant vector field. As $P_X = dU(X)$, it defines a representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and clearly $P_X\psi_0 = 0$.

To identify the quantum connection $\Phi(\ell)$ at link ℓ in this context, use the irreducible action of the structure group G on $\mathbb{C}^k$ to define the function $\Phi_{ij}(\ell) \in C(G)$ by

$$\Phi_{ij}(\ell)(g) := (e_i, ge_j), \quad g \in G, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\{e_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, k\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^k$. Then the matrix components of the quantum connection are taken to be the operators $T_{\Phi_{ij}(\ell)}$, which we will see transform correctly w.r.t. gauge transformations. As the $\Phi_{ij}(\ell)$ are matrix elements of elements of G, there are obvious relations between them which reflect the structure of G. The C*-algebra generated by the operators $\{T_{\Phi_{ij}(\ell)} \mid i,\, j = 1, \ldots, k\}$ is $T_{C(G)}$.

To define gauge momentum operators, we first assign to each link an element of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e. we choose a map $\Psi : \Lambda^1 \to \mathfrak{g}$. Given such a Ψ, take for the associated quantum

gauge momentum at ℓ the operator $P_{\Psi(\ell)} : C^\infty(G) \to C^\infty(G)$. The generalized canonical commutation relations are

$$\big[P_{\Psi(\ell)},\, T_{\Phi_{ij}(\ell)}\big] = i \sum_m T_{\Psi(\ell)_{im}\Phi_{mj}(\ell)} \quad \text{on} \quad C^\infty(G), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\Psi(\ell)_{im} := (e_i, \Psi(\ell)e_m)$.

To obtain the G–electrical fields at ℓ, choose a basis $\{Y_r \mid r = 1, \ldots, \dim(\mathfrak{g})\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, then substitute for Ψ the constant map $\Psi(\ell) = Y_r$ and set $E_r(\ell) := P_{Y_r}$. In the case that $G = SU(3)$, these are the colour electrical fields, and one takes the basis $\{Y_r\}$ to be the traceless selfadjoint Gell–Mann matrices satisfying $\mathrm{Tr}(Y_r Y_s) = \delta_{rs}$. We then define

$$E_{ij}(\ell) := \sum_r (Y_r)_{ij} E_r(\ell) = \sum_r (Y_r)_{ij} P_{Y_r}$$

and for these we obtain from (2.5) the commutation formulae in [15, 16] for the colour electrical field. Of particular importance for the dynamics, is the operator $E_{ij}(\ell)E_{ji}(\ell)$ (summation convention). We have

$$E_{ij}(\ell)E_{ji}(\ell) = \sum_{r,s} (Y_r)_{ij} P_{Y_r} (Y_s)_{ji} P_{Y_s} = \sum_{r,s} (Y_r Y_s)_{ii} P_{Y_r} P_{Y_s} = n \sum_r P_{Y_r}^2$$

i.e. it is the Laplacian for the left regular representation $U : G \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(G))$ which therefore commutes with all U_g. Below in Equation (2.7) we will see that a gauge transform just transforms the Laplacian to one w.r.t. a transformed basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, which leaves the Laplacian invariant.

The full collection of operators which comprises the set of dynamical variables of the model is as follows. The representation Hilbert space is

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_F \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell \in \Lambda^1} L^2(G) \qquad \text{where} \qquad \pi_F : \mathfrak{F}_\Lambda \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_F)$$

is any irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$. As Λ^1 is finite, $\mathcal{H}$ is well–defined. Then $\pi_F \otimes \mathbb{1} : \mathfrak{F}_\Lambda \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ will be the action of $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$ on $\mathcal{H}$. The quantum connection is given by the set of operators

$$\{\widehat{T}^{(\ell)}_{\Phi_{ij}(\ell)} \mid \ell \in \Lambda^1,\ i,j = 1, \ldots, k\} \quad \text{where} \quad \widehat{T}^{(\ell)}_f := \mathbb{1} \otimes \big(\mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes T^{(\ell)}_f \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1}\big)$$

and $T^{(\ell)}_f$ is the multiplication operator on the ℓ^{th} factor, hence $\widehat{T}^{(\ell)}_{\Phi_{ij}(\ell)}$ acts as the identity on all the other factors of $\mathcal{H}$. Likewise, for the gauge momenta we take

$$\widehat{P}^{(\ell)}_{\Psi(\ell)} := \mathbb{1} \otimes \big(\mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes P^{(\ell)}_{\Psi(\ell)} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1}\big), \quad \ell \in \Lambda^1$$

where $P^{(\ell)}_X$ is the P_X operator on the subspace $C^\infty(G) \subset L^2(G)$ of the ℓ^{th} factor. Note that if we set $g = \exp(t\Psi(\ell))$ in $\widehat{U}^{(\ell)}_g := \mathbb{1} \otimes \big(\mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes U^{(\ell)}_g \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1}\big)$ where $U^{(\ell)}_g$

is the U_g operator on the ℓ^{th} factor, then this is a unitary one parameter group w.r.t. t, with generator the gauge momentum operator $\widehat{P}^{(\ell)}_{\Psi(\ell)}$. Thus the quantum G–electrical field $\widehat{E}_r$ is a map from Λ^1 to operators on the dense domain $\mathcal{H}_F \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1} C^\infty(G)$, given by $\widehat{E}_r(\ell) := \widehat{P}^{(\ell)}_{Y_r}$.

Next, to define gauge transformations, recall from Equation (2.1) the action of the local gauge group $\operatorname{Gau}\Lambda = \prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} G = \{\zeta : \Lambda^0 \to G\}$ on the classical configuration space. For the Fermion algebra we define an action $\alpha^1 : \operatorname{Gau}\Lambda \to \operatorname{Aut}\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$ by

$$\alpha^1_\zeta(a(f)) := a(\zeta \cdot f) \qquad \text{where} \qquad (\zeta \cdot f)(x) := \zeta(x) f(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \Lambda^0,$$

and $f \in \prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} \mathbf{V}$ since $f \mapsto \zeta \cdot f$ defines a unitary on $\prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} \mathbf{V}$ where $\zeta \in \operatorname{Gau}\Lambda$. As $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$ has up to unitary equivalence only one irreducible representation, it follows that $\pi_F : \mathfrak{F}_\Lambda \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_F)$ is equivalent to the Fock representation, hence it is covariant w.r.t. α^1, i.e. there is a (continuous) unitary representation $U^F : \operatorname{Gau}\Lambda \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_F)$ such that

$$\pi_F(\alpha^1_\zeta(A)) = U^F_\zeta \pi_F(A) U^F_{\zeta^{-1}} \quad \text{for} \quad A \in \mathfrak{F}_\Lambda.$$

On the other hand, if the classical configuration space G corresponds to a link $\ell = (x_\ell, y_\ell)$, then the gauge transformation is $\zeta \cdot g = \zeta(x_\ell)\, g\, \zeta(y_\ell)^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$. Using this, we define a unitary $W_\zeta : L^2(G) \to L^2(G)$ by

$$(W_\zeta \varphi)(h) := \varphi(\zeta^{-1} \cdot h) = \varphi(\zeta(x_\ell)^{-1}\, h\, \zeta(y_\ell))$$

using the fact that G is unimodular, where the inverse was introduced to ensure that $\zeta \to W_\zeta$ is a homomorphism. Note that $W_\zeta \psi_0 = \psi_0$. So for the quantum observables $U_G \cup T_{L^\infty(G)}$, the gauge transformation becomes

$$T_f \mapsto W_\zeta T_f W_\zeta^{-1} = T_{W_\zeta f} \quad \text{and} \quad U_g \mapsto W_\zeta U_g W_\zeta^{-1} = U_{\zeta(x_\ell) g \zeta(x_\ell)^{-1}} \tag{2.6}$$

for $f \in L^\infty(G) \subset L^2(G)$ and $g \in G$. Moreover each W_ζ preserves the space $C^\infty(G)$, hence Equation (2.6) also implies that

$$W_\zeta P_X W_\zeta^{-1} = P_{\zeta(x_\ell) X \zeta(x_\ell)^{-1}} \quad \text{for} \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{2.7}$$

Thus for the full system we define on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_F \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1} L^2(G)$ the unitaries

$$\widehat{W}_\zeta := U^F_\zeta \otimes \big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1} W^{(\ell)}_\zeta \big), \quad \zeta \in \operatorname{Gau}\Lambda \tag{2.8}$$

where $W^{(\ell)}_\zeta$ is the W_ζ operator on the ℓ^{th} factor. Then the gauge transformation produced by ζ on the system of operators is given by $\operatorname{Ad}(\widehat{W}_\zeta)$.

In particular, recalling $W_\zeta T_{\Phi_{ij}(\ell)} W_\zeta^{-1} = T_{W_\zeta \Phi_{ij}(\ell)}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \big(W_\zeta \Phi_{ij}(\ell)\big)(g) &= \Phi_{ij}(\ell)(\zeta(x_\ell)^{-1}\, g\, \zeta(y_\ell)) = \big(e_i, \zeta(x_\ell)^{-1}\, g\, \zeta(y_\ell) e_j\big) \\ &= \sum_{n,m} [\zeta(x_\ell)^{-1}]_{in}\, \Phi_{nm}(\ell)(g)\, [\zeta(y_\ell)]_{mj} \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $[\zeta(x_\ell)]_{in} = (e_i, \zeta(x_\ell) e_n)$ are the usual matrix elements, so it is clear that the indices of the quantum connection $T_{\Phi_{ij}(\ell)}$ transform correctly for the gauge transformation ζ^{-1}.

Finally, we construct the appropriate field C*-algebra for this model. For the fermion part, we already have the C*-algebra $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda = \mathrm{CAR}\big(\prod_{x\in\Lambda^0} \mathbf{V}\big)$. Fix a link ℓ, hence a specific copy of G in the configuration space. Above in (2.3) we had the distinguished action $\lambda : G \to \mathrm{Aut}\, C(G)$ by

$$\lambda_g(f)(h) := f(g^{-1}h)\ ,\ f \in C(G),\ g, h \in G.$$

The generalized Schrödinger representation (T, U) above in (2.2) is a covariant representation for the action $\lambda : G \to \mathrm{Aut}\, C(G)$ so it is natural to take for our field algebra the crossed product C*-algebra $C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G$ whose representations are exactly the covariant representations of the C^*-dynamical system defined by λ. The algebra $C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G$ is also called the generalised Weyl algebra, and it is well–known that $C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G \cong \mathcal{K}\big(L^2(G)\big)$ cf. [25] and Theorem II.10.4.3 in [1]. In fact $\pi_0\big(C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G\big) = \mathcal{K}\big(L^2(G)\big)$ where $\pi_0 : C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ is the generalized Schrödinger representation. Since the algebra of compacts $\mathcal{K}\big(L^2(G)\big)$ has only one irreducible representation up to unitary equivalence, it follows that the generalized Schrödinger representation is the unique irreducible covariant representation of λ (up to equivalence). Moreover, as ψ_0 is cyclic for $\mathcal{K}\big(L^2(G)\big)$, the generalized Schrödinger representation is unitary equivalent to the GNS–representation of the vector state ω_0 given by $\omega_0(A) := (\psi_0, \pi_0(A)\psi_0)$ for $A \in C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G$.

Note that the operators U_g and T_f in equation (2.2) are not compact, so they are not in $\mathcal{K}\big(L^2(G)\big) = \pi_0\big(C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G\big)$, but are in fact in its multiplier algebra. This is not a problem, as a state or representation on $C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G$ has a unique extension to its multiplier algebra, so it is fully determined on these elements. If one chose $C^*(U_G \cup T_{L^\infty(G)})$ as the field algebra instead of $C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G$, then this would contain many inappropriate representations, e.g. covariant representations for $\lambda : G \to \mathrm{Aut}\, C(G)$ where the implementing unitaries are discontinuous w.r.t. G. Thus, our choice for the field algebra of a link remains as $C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G \cong \mathcal{K}\big(L^2(G)\big)$. Clearly, as the momentum operators P_X are unbounded, they cannot be in any C*-algebra, but they are obtained from U_G in the generalized Schrödinger representation.

We combine these C*-algebras into the kinematic field algebra, which is

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda := \mathfrak{F}_\Lambda \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1} \big(C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G\big)$$

which is is well–defined as Λ^1 is finite, and the cross–norms are unique as all algebras in the entries are nuclear. (If Λ^1 is infinite, the tensor product $\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1}\big(C(G)\rtimes_\lambda G\big)$ is undefined, as $C(G)\rtimes_\lambda G$ is nonunital). Moreover, since $C(G)\rtimes_\lambda G\cong\mathcal{K}\big(L^2(G)\big)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_1)\otimes\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_2)\cong\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_1\otimes\mathcal{H}_2)$, it follows that

$$\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1}\big(C(G)\rtimes_\lambda G\big)\cong\mathcal{K}\big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1}L^2(G)\big)\cong\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{L})$$

as Λ^1 is finite, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a generic infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space. So

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda=\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda\otimes\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1}\big(C(G)\rtimes_\lambda G\big)\cong\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda\otimes\mathcal{K}\big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1}L^2(G)\big)\cong\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{L})$$

as $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$ is a full matrix algebra. This shows that for a finite lattice there will be only one irreducible representation, up to unitary equivalence. Also, $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is simple, so all representations are faithful.

The algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is faithfully and irreducibly represented on $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_F\otimes\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1}L^2(G)$ by $\pi=\pi_F\otimes\big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1}\pi_\ell\big)$ where $\pi_\ell:C(G)\rtimes_\lambda G\to L^2(G)$ is the generalized Schrödinger representation for the ℓ^{th} entry. Then $\pi\big(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda\big)$ contains in its multiplier algebra the operators $\widehat{T}^{(\ell)}_{\Phi_{ij}(\ell)}$, $\widehat{U}^{(\ell)}_g$ for all $\ell\in\Lambda^1$.

To complete the picture, we also need to define the action of the local gauge group on $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$. Recall that in π it is given by $\zeta\to\mathrm{Ad}(\widehat{W}_\zeta)$, and this clearly preserves $\pi\big(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda\big)=\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ and defines a strongly continuous action α of $\mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda$ on $\pi\big(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda\big)$ (hence on $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$) as $\zeta\to\widehat{W}_\zeta$ is strong operator continuous. By construction $(\pi,\widehat{W})$ is a covariant representation for the C*-dynamical system given by $\alpha:\mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda\to\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$. As $\mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda=\prod_{x\in\Lambda^0}G$ is compact, we can construct the crossed product $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda\rtimes_\alpha\mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda$ which has as representation space all covariant representations of $\alpha:\mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda\to\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$. As it is convenient to have an identity in the algebra, our full field algebra for the system will be taken to be:

$$\mathcal{F}_e:=(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda\oplus\mathbb{C})\rtimes_\alpha(\mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda)$$

where $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda\oplus\mathbb{C}$ denotes $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ with an identity adjoined. This has a unique faithful representation on $\mathcal{H}$ corresponding to the covariant representation $(\pi,\widehat{W})$.

We consider two types of gauge invariant observables for lattice QCD which appeared in the literature (cf. [17]).

(1) We start with gauge invariant variables of pure gauge type, and consider the well-known Wilson loops cf. [29]. To construct a Wilson loop, we choose an oriented loop $L=\{\ell_1,\ell_2,\ldots,\ell_m\}\subset\Lambda^1$, $\ell_j=(x_j,y_j)$, such that $y_j=x_{j+1}$ for $j=1,\ldots,m-1$ and $y_m=x_1$. Let $G_k=G$ be the configuration space of ℓ_k. Denoting the components of a gauge potential Φ by $\Phi_{ij}(\ell_k)\in C(G_k)$ as in equation (2.4), the matrix components of the quantum connection at ℓ_k are given by

$T_{\Phi_{ij}(\ell_k)}$. To construct the gauge invariant observable associated with the loop, define (summing over repeated indices):

$$\begin{aligned} W(L) &:= \Phi_{i_1 i_2}(\ell_1)(g_1)\,\Phi_{i_2 i_3}(\ell_3)(g_2)\cdots\Phi_{i_{m-1} i_1}(\ell_m)(g_m) \\ &= (e_{i_1}, g_1 g_2 \cdots g_m e_{i_1}) = \mathrm{Tr}(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_m)\,. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that to perform the product we need to fix identifications of G_i with G.) This defines a gauge invariant element $W(L) \in C(G_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes C(G_m) = C(G_1 \times \cdots G_m)$. To see the gauge invariance, just note that

$$\big(\zeta\cdot\Phi\big)(\ell)\big(\zeta\cdot\Phi\big)(\ell') = \zeta(x_\ell)\,\Phi(\ell)\,\zeta(y_\ell)^{-1}\zeta(x_{\ell'})\,\Phi(\ell')\,\zeta(y_{\ell'})^{-1} = \zeta(x_\ell)\,\Phi(\ell)\,\Phi(\ell')\,\zeta(y_{\ell'})^{-1}$$

if $y_\ell = x_{\ell'}$ (i.e. ℓ' follows ℓ), and use the trace property for $W(L)$.

Wilson loops of particular importance are those where the paths are plaquettes, i.e. $L = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) \in \Lambda^2$ as such $W(L)$ occur in the lattice Hamiltonian. As remarked above, as $C(G_j) \subset M(C(G_j) \rtimes_\lambda G_j)$, it is not actually contained in $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_j}$. We embed $C(G_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes C(G_m)$ (hence $W(L)$) in $M(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$ by letting it act as the identity in entries not corresponding to $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4\}$.

(2) Another method of constructing gauge invariant observables, is by Fermi bilinears connected with a Wilson line (cf. [17]). Consider a path $C = \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_m\} \subset \Lambda^1$, $\ell_j = (x_j, y_j)$, such that $y_j = x_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m-1$. We take notation as above, so $G_k = G$ is the configuration space of ℓ_k, and $\Phi_{ij}(\ell_k)(g_k) := (e_i, g_k e_j)$, $g_k \in G_k$. To construct a gauge invariant observable associated with the path, consider (with summation convention):

$$\begin{aligned} Q(C) &:= \psi^*_{i_1}(x_1)\,\Phi_{i_1 i_2}(\ell_1)\,\Phi_{i_2 i_3}(\ell_3)\cdots\Phi_{i_{m-1} i_m}(\ell_m)\,\psi_{i_m}(y_m) \\ &\in \mathfrak{F}_S \otimes C(G_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes C(G_m) \end{aligned}$$

where $S \subseteq \Lambda^0$ contains the path and we assume $\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{C}^k$ (otherwise $\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbf{W}$ and there are more indices). Then $Q(C)$ is gauge invariant. As above, we embed $\mathfrak{F}_S \otimes C(G_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes C(G_m)$ in $M(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$ in the natural way.

In the representation $\pi = \pi_F \otimes \big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1} \pi_\ell\big)$ it is also possible to build unbounded observables as gauge invariant operators. For example we can build gauge invariant combinations of the gluonic and the colour electric field generators, and in the finite lattice context, such operators were analyzed in [16, 13]. As an example of such a gauge invariant operator, in the context of a finite lattice, we state the Hamiltonian, where we disregard terms by which H has to be supplemented in order to avoid the fermion doubling problem. We first need to add spinor indices, hence take $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ where $\mathbf{W} \cong \mathbb{C}^4$ will be the spinor part on which the γ-matrices act. If $\{w_1, \ldots, w_4\}$ is an

orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{W}$ and $\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^k$, then w.r.t. the orthonormal basis $\{w_j \otimes e_n \mid j = 1, \ldots, 4,\ n = 1, \ldots, k\}$ of $\mathbf{V}$, we obtain the indices

$$a(w_j \otimes e_n \cdot \delta_x) =: \psi_{jn}(x)$$

for the quark field generators, where the subscript j is the spinor index, and the n is the gauge index. Then the Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \tfrac{a}{2} \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda^1} E_{ij}(\ell) E_{ji}(\ell) + \tfrac{1}{2g^2 a} \sum_{p \in \Lambda^2} (W(p) + W(p)^*) \\ &+ i\tfrac{a}{2} \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda^1} \bar{\psi}_{jn}(x_\ell) \big[\underline{\gamma} \cdot (y_\ell - x_\ell)\big]_{ji} \Phi_{nm}(\ell) \psi_{im}(y_\ell) + h.c. \\ &+ ma^3 \sum_{x \in \Lambda^0} \bar{\psi}_{jn}(x) \psi_{jn}(x)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where a is the assumed lattice spacing; $W(p)$ is the Wilson loop operator for the plaquette $p = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4)$; the vector $y_\ell - x_\ell$ for a link $\ell = (x_\ell, y_\ell)$ is the vector of length a pointing from x_ℓ to y_ℓ and h.c. means the Hermitean conjugate. As usual for spinors, $\bar{\psi}_{jn}(x) = \psi_{in}(x)^*(\gamma_0)_{ij}$, and we use the standard gamma-matrices. We have omitted the flavour indices. The summands occurring in (2.10) are either Laplacians, Wilson loop operators or Fermi bilinears, hence they are all gauge invariant, hence are observables, some unbounded.

The above Hamiltonian suffers from the well-known fermion doubling problem (cf. [7]);- the latter can be cured by passing e.g. to Wilson fermions [30]. This modification does not affect the arguments below, hence we focus our analysis on the naive Hamiltonian given by (2.10). Below in Sect. 3.1 we will consider the dynamics produced by this Hamiltonian.

2.2 The Fermion algebra for an infinite lattice.

It is unproblematic to specify the Fermion field on an infinite lattice $\Lambda = (\Lambda^0, \Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)$:

Assumption: *Assume the quantum matter field algebra on Λ is:*

$$\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda := \mathrm{CAR}(\ell^2(\Lambda^0, \mathbf{V})) = C^*\big(\bigcup_{x \in \Lambda^0} \mathfrak{F}_x\big) \tag{2.11}$$

where $\mathfrak{F}_x := \mathrm{CAR}(V_x)$ and $V_x := \{f \in \ell^2(\Lambda^0, \mathbf{V}) \mid f(y) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad y \neq x\} \cong \mathbf{V}$. We interpret $\mathfrak{F}_x \cong \mathrm{CAR}(\mathbf{V})$ as the field algebra for a fermion at x. We denote the generating elements of $\mathrm{CAR}(\ell^2(\Lambda^0, \mathbf{V}))$ by $a(f)$, $f \in \ell^2(\Lambda^0, \mathbf{V})$, and these satisfy the usual CAR–relations:

$$\{a(f), a(g)^*\} = \langle f, g \rangle \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \{a(f), a(g)\} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad f, g \in \ell^2(\Lambda^0, \mathbf{V}) \tag{2.12}$$

where $\{A, B\} := AB + BA$.

Note that the odd parts of $\mathfrak{F}_x$ and $\mathfrak{F}_y$ w.r.t. the fields $a(f)$ anticommute if $x \neq y$. Moreover, as Λ^0 is infinite, $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$ has inequivalent irreducible representations.

We have the following inductive limit structure. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a directed set of finite connected subgraphs $S \subset (\Lambda^0, \Lambda^1)$, such that $\bigcup_{S\in\mathcal{S}} S = (\Lambda^0, \Lambda^1)$, where the partial ordering is set inclusion. Note that $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ implies $\Lambda^i_{S_1} \subseteq \Lambda^i_{S_2}$ and $\bigcup_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \Lambda^i_S = \Lambda^i$. Define $\mathfrak{F}_S := C^*\big(\bigcup_{x\in\Lambda^0_S} \mathfrak{F}_x\big) \subset \mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$ and then $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda = \varinjlim \mathfrak{F}_S$ is an inductive limit w.r.t. the partial ordering in $\mathcal{S}$.

This defines the quantum matter fields on the lattice sites, and to obtain correspondence with the physics notation, we choose an appropriate orthonormal basis in $\mathbf{V}$ and proceed as before for a finite lattice.

2.3 A maximal C*-algebra for dynamics construction.

In this section we wish to define a concrete C*-algebra which is "maximally large" in the sense that it contains all the true local C*-algebras, as well as the (bounded) terms of the local Hamiltonians. In the next section we will then define the dynamics automorphisms on it, and finally we will then take our new field C*-algebra to be the smallest subalgebra which contains all the true local C*-algebras, and is preserved w.r.t. the dynamics. Thus, the dynamics itself will create the field algebra for the system. The gauge transformations will be added later.

Recall from above that for every link ℓ we have a generalised Weyl algebra $C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G \cong \mathcal{K}\big(L^2(G)\big)$, for which we have a generalized Schrödinger representation $\pi_0 : C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G \to \mathcal{B}\big(L^2(G)\big)$ such that $\pi_0\big(C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G\big) = \mathcal{K}\big(L^2(G)\big)$. Explicitly, it is given by $\pi_0(\varphi \cdot f) = \pi_1(\varphi)\pi_2(f)$ for $\varphi \in L^1(G)$ and $f \in C(G)$ where

$$(\pi_1(\varphi)\psi)(g) := \int \varphi(h)\psi(h^{-1}g)\,\mathrm{d}h \qquad \text{and} \qquad (\pi_2(f)\psi)(g) := f(g)\psi(g)$$

for all $\psi \in L^2(G)$. Note that by irreducibility, the constant vector $\psi_0 = 1$ is cyclic and normalized (assuming normalized Haar measure on G).

We start by taking an infinite product of generalized Schrödinger representations, one for each $\ell \in \Lambda^1$, w.r.t. the reference sequence $(\psi_0, \psi_0, \ldots)$ where $\psi_0 = 1$ is the constant vector (cf.[28]). Thus the space $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ is the completion of the pre–Hilbert space spanned by finite combinations of elementary tensors of the type

$$\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_k \otimes \psi_0 \otimes \psi_0 \otimes \cdots, \quad \varphi_i \in \mathcal{H}_i = L^2(G),\ k \in \mathbb{N}$$

w.r.t. the pre–inner product given by

$$(\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_k \otimes \psi_0 \otimes \psi_0 \otimes \cdots,\ \varphi'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi'_k \otimes \psi_0 \otimes \psi_0 \otimes \cdots)_\infty := \prod_{i=1}^{k} (\varphi_i, \varphi'_i)\,,$$

and we assume the usual entry-wise tensorial linear operations for the elementary tensors. Denote the reference vector by $\psi_0^\infty := \psi_0 \otimes \psi_0 \otimes \cdots$.

Fix a finite nonempty connected subgraph S of (Λ^0, Λ^1), and let

$$\Lambda_S := (\Lambda_S^0, \Lambda_S^1, \Lambda_S^2).$$

Then $\mathcal{L}_S := \bigotimes_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} \big(C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G\big)$ acts on $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ as a product representation of π_0 where each factor $\mathcal{L}_\ell := C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G$ acts on the factor of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ corresponding to ℓ. In fact if $[\cdot]$ denotes closed span, then

$$[\mathcal{L}_S \psi_0^\infty] = \Big(\bigotimes_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} \mathcal{H}_\ell \Big) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell \notin \Lambda_S^1} \psi_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_\infty.$$

Thus all $\mathcal{L}_S$ are faithfully imbedded in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\infty)$, and if S and S' are disjoint, $\mathcal{L}_S$ and $\mathcal{L}_{S'}$ commute.

Now consider the Fock representation $\pi_{\text{Fock}} : \mathfrak{F}_\Lambda \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{Fock}})$ of the CAR–algebra with vacuum vector Ω. The Hilbert space on which we define our infinite lattice model is

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_{\text{Fock}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\infty.$$

Then by $\mathfrak{F}_S \subset \mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$, we also have a product representation of the local field algebras $\mathfrak{A}_S := \mathfrak{F}_S \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} \mathcal{L}_\ell$ on $\mathcal{H}$. If S and S' are disjoint, then $\mathfrak{A}_S$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{S'}$ will graded–commute w.r.t. the Fermion grading. If we have containment i.e., $R \subset S$, then $\mathfrak{F}_R \subset \mathfrak{F}_S$, but we have $\bigotimes_{\ell \in \Lambda_R^1} \mathcal{L}_\ell \not\subset \bigotimes_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} \mathcal{L}_\ell$ because $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathbb{1} \not\subset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ if $\mathcal{H}_2$ is infinite dimensional. However w.r.t. the natural operator product we have $\mathfrak{A}_R \cdot \mathfrak{A}_S = \mathfrak{A}_S$, hence $\mathfrak{A}_R \subset M(\mathfrak{A}_S)$, as the action of $\mathfrak{A}_R$ on $\mathfrak{A}_S$ is nondegenerate.

Note that the Fermion grading also produces a grading unitary U_F on $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Fock}}$ as the second quantization of -1 acting on $\ell^2(\Lambda^0, \mathbf{V})$. This grading coincides with the even-odd grading for n-particle vectors in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Fock}}$. Naturally U_F extends to $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_{\text{Fock}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\infty$ as $U_F \otimes \mathbb{1}$, which extends by conjugation the Fermion grading to all of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

The local graded commutation properties above are crucial for our construction of local dynamics, and this property will be preserved in our definition of a maximal C*-algebra on which we will construct the dynamics. For each finite connected subgraph S of (Λ^0, Λ^1), we define

$$\mathcal{H}_S := [\mathfrak{F}_S \Omega] \otimes [\mathcal{L}_S \psi_0^\infty], \qquad \mathcal{B}_S := \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S).$$

To see how to imbed $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, note that $\mathfrak{F}_S$ is finite dimensional, hence so is $[\mathfrak{F}_S \Omega]$ and as the restriction of $\pi_{\text{Fock}}(\mathfrak{F}_S)$ to $[\mathfrak{F}_S \Omega]$ is just the Fock representation of $\mathfrak{F}_S$, we obtain from irreducibility that $\mathcal{B}([\mathfrak{F}_S \Omega]) = \mathfrak{F}_S$ on $[\mathfrak{F}_S \Omega]$. Thus

$$\mathcal{B}_S = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S) = \mathcal{B}([\mathfrak{F}_S \Omega] \otimes [\mathcal{L}_S \psi_0^\infty]) = \mathcal{B}([\mathfrak{F}_S \Omega]) \otimes \mathcal{B}([\mathcal{L}_S \psi_0^\infty]) = \mathfrak{F}_S \otimes \mathcal{B}([\mathcal{L}_S \psi_0^\infty])$$

where the third equality follows from [14, Example 11.1.6]. Let $\mathfrak{F}_S \subset \mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$ act on $\mathcal{H}_{\rm Fock}$ as part of the Fock representation of $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$. As

$$[\mathcal{L}_S\psi_0^\infty] = \Big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_S^1}\mathcal{H}_\ell\Big)\otimes\bigotimes_{\ell\notin\Lambda_S^1}\psi_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_\infty,$$

we can extend $\mathcal{B}([\mathcal{L}_S\psi_0^\infty])$ to $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ by letting its elements act as the identity on those factors of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ corresponding to $\ell \notin \Lambda_S^1$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}_S = \pi_{\rm Fock}(\mathfrak{F}_S)\otimes\mathcal{B}\Big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_S^1}\mathcal{H}_\ell\Big)\otimes\bigotimes_{\ell\notin\Lambda_S^1}\mathbb{1}. \tag{2.13}$$

Thus we have obtained the embedding $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and we have containments $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_T)$ if $S \subseteq T$. Note that the restriction of this embedded copy of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ to $\mathcal{H}_S \subset \mathcal{H}$ gives a faithful representation, but it is nonzero outside $\mathcal{H}_S$ as it contains the identity. Now we can define:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm max} := \varinjlim \mathcal{B}_S = C^*\Big(\bigcup_{S\in\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S)\Big)$$

where in the last inductive limit and union, S ranges over the directed set $\mathcal{S}$ of all finite connected subgraphs of (Λ^0, Λ^1). Here and below, we will assume that $\mathcal{S}$ does not contain the empty set, so we can ignore this trivial special case.

Note that if we use the grading unitary above to extend the Fermion grading to all of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then if S and S' are disjoint, then $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S) \supset \mathfrak{A}_S$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{S'}) \supset \mathfrak{A}_{S'}$ will graded–commute. Note that a similar inductive limit cannot be done for the local algebras $\mathfrak{A}_S$ as it is NOT true that $S \subset S'$ implies $\mathfrak{A}_S \subset \mathfrak{A}_{S'}$. An operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ will be said to have *support in* S if S is the smallest connected graph for which $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S) = \mathcal{B}_S$.

As $\mathcal{A}_{\rm max}$ contains all the local algebras $\mathfrak{A}_S$, we aim to define the full dynamics on $\mathcal{A}_{\rm max}$, and then generate the new field algebra in $\mathcal{A}_{\rm max}$ from the orbits of the local ones. At the end of the next section we will show that $\mathcal{A}_{\rm max}$ is contained in the multiplier algebra of the kinematics field algebra we constructed previously in [9].

3 Dynamics

Next, we want to define the dynamics on $\mathcal{A}_{\rm max} := \varinjlim \mathcal{B}_S$ corresponding to the heuristic Hamiltonian given by

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \tfrac{a}{2}\sum_{\ell\in\Lambda^1} E_{ij}(\ell)E_{ji}(\ell) + \tfrac{1}{2g^2a}\sum_{p\in\Lambda^2}(W(p)+W(p)^*) \\ &+ i\tfrac{a}{2}\sum_{\ell\in\Lambda^1}\bar\psi_{jn}(x_\ell)\big[\underline{\gamma}\cdot(y_\ell - x_\ell)\big]_{ji}\Phi_{nm}(\ell)\psi_{im}(y_\ell) + h.c. \\ &+ ma^3\sum_{x\in\Lambda^0}\bar\psi_{jn}(x)\psi_{jn}(x)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where the difference with (2.10) is that now the sums are over an infinite lattice, so are not yet properly defined. The unbounded operators in the first summand are defined on the appropriate factor of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$, and all calculations will be done concretely, i.e. in terms of operators on $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_{\rm Fock} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\infty$, and we will not explicitly indicate the representations π_0 and $\pi_{\rm Fock}$. Otherwise, notation is as before.

The summands occurring in (3.14) are all gauge invariant (locally) and hence are observables, some unbounded. All summands also have Fermion degree zero, hence for these summands graded local commutativity becomes just ordinary local commutativity with elements of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\rm max} := \varinjlim \mathcal{B}_S$.

Below we will follow the familiar technique for defining the dynamics of lattice systems by first defining it for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$, then proving that these "local automorphism groups" have a pointwise norm limit which defines a dynamics automorphism for the full algebra (cf. [3]).

3.1 The local dynamics automorphism groups.

Now for a fixed ℓ, in the representation π_0 on $L^2(G)$ the operator $E_{ij}(\ell)E_{ji}(\ell)$ (summation convention) is just the group Laplacian, hence it is defined on the domain $C^\infty(G) \subset L^2(G)$, and it is essentially selfadjoint as it produces a positive quadratic form. These are the only unbounded terms in H. Given $S \in \mathcal{S}$ we define the local Hamiltonian H_S by summing only over the restricted lattice Λ_S, thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_S &= H_S^{\rm loc} + H_S^{\rm int} \qquad \text{on} \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\rm Fock} \otimes \mathcal{D}_S \qquad \text{where} \\
H_S^{\rm loc} &:= \tfrac{a}{2} \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} E_{ij}(\ell)E_{ji}(\ell) + ma^3 \sum_{x \in \Lambda_S^0} \bar\psi_i(x)\psi_i(x) \qquad \text{on} \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\rm Fock} \otimes \mathcal{D}_S \\
H_S^{\rm int} &:= \tfrac{1}{2g^2 a} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_S^2} (W(p) + W(p)^*) + i\tfrac{a}{2} \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} \bar\psi_{jn}(x_\ell) \big[\underline{\gamma} \cdot (y_\ell - x_\ell)\big]_{ji} \Phi_{nm}(\ell)\psi_{im}(y_\ell) + h.c.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_S \subset \bigotimes_{\ell \in \Lambda^1} L^2(G)$ is the span of those elementary tensors such that if $\ell \in \Lambda_S^1$, then in the ℓ-th entry it takes its value in $C^\infty(G)$ but otherwise it is unrestricted. One may write this as $\mathcal{D}_S = \bigotimes_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} C^\infty(G) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell' \notin \Lambda_S^1} L^2(G)$ (infinite tensor products are w.r.t. the reference vector ψ_0^∞). Note that $H_S^{\rm loc}$ is an unbounded essentially selfadjoint (positive) operator which affects the individual sites and links independently (so produces free time evolution), and that the interaction term $H_S^{\rm int}$ is bounded. In fact the free time evolution is just a tensor product of the individual free time evolutions:

$$U_S^{\rm loc}(t) := \exp(it\bar H_S^{\rm loc}) = U_S^{\rm CAR}(t) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} U_\ell(t) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell' \notin \Lambda_S^1} \mathbf{1}$$

where $U_S^{\rm CAR}(t) = \exp\big(itma^3 \sum_{x \in \Lambda_S^0} \bar\psi_i(x)\psi_i(x)\big) \in \mathfrak{F}_S$ and $U_\ell(t) := \exp(it\overline{E_{ij}(\ell)E_{ji}(\ell)})$ (the overline notation $\bar H_S^{\rm loc}$ and $\overline{E_{ij}(\ell)E_{ji}(\ell)}$ indicates the closure of the essentially selfadjoint

operators). The local free time evolutions $\alpha_S^{\rm loc}(t) := {\rm Ad}(U_S^{\rm loc}(t))$ will preserve each $\mathcal{B}_{S'}$, $S' \in \mathcal{S}$, hence preserves $\mathcal{A}_{\rm max} = \varinjlim \mathcal{B}_S$ because it acts componentwise. However $\alpha^{\rm loc}$ is not strongly continuous i.e. pointwise norm continuous, as $H_S^{\rm loc}$ is unbounded and $\mathcal{B}_S = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ (cf. Prop. 5.10 in [11]).

As $U_S^{\rm CAR}(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_S \subset \mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$, we have that $\alpha_S^{\rm CAR}(t) := {\rm Ad}(U_S^{\rm CAR}(t))$ clearly preserves both $\mathfrak{F}_S$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$. Moreover $t \mapsto \alpha_S^{\rm CAR}(t)$ is uniformly norm continuous as the generator $ma^3 \sum\limits_{x \in \Lambda_S^0} \bar{\psi}_i(x)\psi_i(x)$ is bounded.

Observe that $\alpha_S^{\rm loc}(t)$ satisfies the compatibility condition that if $R \subset S$, then $\alpha_S^{\rm loc}(t)$ preserves the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_R \subset \mathcal{B}_S$ and its restriction on it coincides with $\alpha_R^{\rm loc}(t)$, as is clear from the tensor product construction. We have that ${\rm Ad}(U_S^{\rm loc}(t))$ defines a one parameter group $t \mapsto \alpha_S^{\rm loc}(t) \in {\rm Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\rm max})$ which preserves $\mathcal{B}_S$, and is the identity on any $\mathcal{B}_R$ where $R \cap S = \emptyset$.

Next, note that as the (bounded) interaction Hamiltonian $H_S^{\rm int} \in \mathcal{B}_S$, its commutator produces a derivation on $\mathcal{A}_{\rm max}$, which preserves $\mathcal{B}_S$ i.e. $[H_S^{\rm int}, \mathcal{B}_S] \subseteq \mathcal{B}_S$.

To be more precise, consider the individual terms in the finite sums comprising $H_S^{\rm int}$. Recall that for $p = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) \in \Lambda^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(p) \;\; &\in \;\; C(G_{\ell_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes C(G_{\ell_4}) = C(G_{\ell_1} \times \cdots G_{\ell_4}) \subset M(\mathcal{L}_S) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_S \qquad \text{if} \qquad p \subset S \\ \text{and} \qquad & \bar{\psi}_{jn}(x_\ell)\big[\underline{\gamma} \cdot (y_\ell - x_\ell)\big]_{ji} \Phi_{nm}(\ell)\psi_{im}(y_\ell) \in \mathfrak{F}_S \otimes C(G_\ell) \subset \mathcal{B}_S \end{aligned}$$

where $\ell = (x, y) \subset S$. Thus the commutator with $H_S^{\rm int}$ defines a bounded derivation on $\mathcal{A}_{\rm max}$, which preserves $\mathcal{B}_S$.

For each $S \in \mathcal{S}$ we have a local time evolution $\alpha^S : \mathbb{R} \to {\rm Aut}\big(\mathcal{A}_{\rm max}\big)$ which preserves $\pi(\mathcal{B}_S)$ and acts trivially on $\mathcal{A}_{\rm max}$ outside of it, given by

$$\alpha_t^S := {\rm Ad}(U_S(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad U_S(t) := \exp(itH_S).$$

Due to the interaction terms, we do not expect that $R \subset S$ implies that α^S will coincide with α^R on $\mathcal{B}_R \subset \mathcal{B}_S$. By construction, (π, U_S) is a covariant irreducible representation for $\alpha_S : \mathbb{R} \to {\rm Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\rm max})$. The generator $H_S = H_S^{\rm loc} + H_S^{\rm int}$ of its implementing unitary group U_S has a positive unbounded part $H_S^{\rm loc}$ and a bounded interaction part $H_S^{\rm int}$, hence it is bounded from below. As H_S is unbounded, α^S is not strongly continuous on $\mathcal{B}_S$. However, on the local algebras $\mathfrak{A}_S \subset \mathcal{B}_S$ we have:

Lemma 3.1 *Let R, $S \in \mathcal{S}$ with $R \subseteq S$. For each $A \in \mathfrak{A}_S$ we have that $t \mapsto \alpha_t^R(A)$ is continuous, i.e. the restriction of α^R to $\mathfrak{A}_S$ is strongly continuous.*

Proof: Recall that $\mathfrak{A}_S := \mathfrak{F}_S \otimes \bigotimes\limits_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} \mathcal{L}_\ell$ acts faithfully on

$$\mathcal{H}_S := [\mathfrak{F}_S\Omega] \otimes [\mathcal{L}_S\psi_0^\infty] \subset \mathcal{H} \quad \text{where} \quad [\mathcal{L}_S\psi_0^\infty] = \Big(\bigotimes_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} \mathcal{H}_\ell\Big) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell \notin \Lambda_S^1} \psi_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_\infty.$$

As S is finite, $[\mathfrak{F}_S\Omega]$ is finite dimensional, and so by $\mathcal{L}_S = \mathcal{K}\Big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_S^1}\mathcal{H}_\ell\Big)$ we conclude that $\mathfrak{A}_S\restriction\mathcal{H}_S \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_S)$. Now $\alpha_t^R = \mathrm{Ad}(U_R(t))$ where $U_R(t) = \exp(itH_R)$, and $U_R(t)$ preserves $\mathcal{H}_S$ and is the identity on any $\mathcal{H}_{S'}$ where S' is disjoint from S. Thus for $A \in \mathfrak{A}_S$, $\alpha_t^R(A)$ becomes just the conjugation of a compact operator by a strong operator continuous one parameter unitary group, and this is well known to be norm continuous in the parameter (the strict topology on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) = M(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ is the σ–strong *–topology). ∎

The analogous converse statement will be proven below in the proof of Theorem 3.4, i.e. that if $R \subset S$, then $t \mapsto \alpha_t^S(A)$ is continuous for $A \in \mathfrak{A}_R$. As $\mathfrak{A}_R \not\subset \mathfrak{A}_S$ and α_t^S need not preserve $\mathfrak{A}_R$, this is not obvious.

3.2 Existence of the global dynamics automorphism group.

We want to apply arguments of Nachtergaele and Sims in [20] to establish the existence of the full infinite lattice dynamics on $\mathcal{A}_{\max}$. Here we will supply all the necessary details to adapt their argument to our situation.

Return to the faithful representation $\pi = \pi_{\mathrm{Fock}} \otimes \pi_\infty$, on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{Fock}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\infty$ where $\mathcal{H}_\infty = \bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1} L^2(G_\ell)$ is defined w.r.t. the reference vector $\psi_0 \otimes \psi_0 \otimes \cdots$. All calculations below will be done concretely in this representation, but to limit notation it will not usually be indicated. As before, we fix the directed set $\mathcal{S}$ of finite connected subgraphs of (Λ^0, Λ^1), where the partial ordering is set inclusion. Note that for each link $\ell \in \Lambda^1$, we can identify a graph in $\mathcal{S}$ (also denoted by ℓ) as the graph consisting of the endpoints (x_ℓ, y_ℓ) for vertices, and the edge from x_ℓ to y_ℓ. Likewise, we can identify any plaquette $p \in \Lambda^2$ with a graph in $\mathcal{S}$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_{\max} = \varinjlim \mathcal{B}_S = C^*\Big(\bigcup_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S)\Big) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathfrak{F}_S \otimes \mathcal{L}_S \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S).$$

Recall that for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$ we have a local time evolution $\alpha^S : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}\big(\mathcal{A}_{\max}\big)$ which preserves $\pi(\mathcal{B}_S)$ and acts trivially on $\mathcal{A}_{\max}$ outside of it, given by $\alpha_t^S = \mathrm{Ad}(U_S(t))$. We will show below that for each $A \in \mathcal{B}_R$, $R \subset S$ that $\alpha_t^S(A)$ converges as $S \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^3$, where $S \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ indicates that we take the limit over increasing sequences in $\mathcal{S}$ such that the union of the graphs in the sequence is the entire connected graph $(\Lambda^0,\, \Lambda^1)$ for the lattice.

We now want to apply arguments in [20] to establish the existence of an infinite

lattice dynamics on $\mathcal{A}_{\max}$. We first revisit notation. Fix $S \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} H_S &= H_S^{\rm loc} + H_S^{\rm int} \qquad \text{on} \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\rm Fock} \otimes \mathcal{D}_S \qquad \text{where} \\ H_S^{\rm loc} &:= \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} H_\ell + \sum_{x \in \Lambda_S^0} H_x \qquad \text{where} \\ H_\ell &:= \tfrac{a}{2} E_{ij}(\ell) E_{ji}(\ell) \qquad \text{and} \qquad H_x := m a^3 \bar{\psi}_i(x) \psi_i(x) \\ H_S^{\rm int} &:= \sum_{p \in \Lambda_S^2} \widetilde{W}(p) + \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} B(\ell) \qquad \text{where} \quad \widetilde{W}(p) := \tfrac{1}{2g^2 a}(W(p) + W(p)^*) \\ \text{and} \qquad B(\ell) &:= i \tfrac{a}{2} \bar{\psi}_{jn}(x_\ell) \big[\underline{\gamma} \cdot (y_\ell - x_\ell) \big]_{ji} \Phi_{nm}(\ell) \psi_{im}(y_\ell) + h.c. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $H_S^{\rm int} \in \mathcal{B}_S$ and $\sum_{x \in \Lambda_S^0} H_x \in \mathcal{B}_S$. The only terms of H_S not in the $\mathcal{B}_S$ are the unbounded H_ℓ. Moreover the operator norm $\|\widetilde{W}(p)\| =: \|\widetilde{W}\|$ is independent of p and $\|B(\ell)\| =: \|B\|$ is independent of ℓ. Below we will frequently need the following notation:- if $A \in \mathcal{B}_S$ then

$$A(t) := e^{itH_S^{\rm loc}} A \, e^{-itH_S^{\rm loc}} \in \mathcal{B}_S \, .$$

Theorem 3.2 *With notation as above, we have for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\max}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that the norm limit*

$$\lim_{S \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^3} \alpha_t^S(A) =: \alpha_t(A)$$

exists, and defines an automorphism group $t \mapsto \alpha_t \in {\rm Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\max})$. Furthermore, for each $T > 0$, the limit is uniform w.r.t. $t \in [-T, T]$.

Proof: Fix $T > 0$, a nonempty $R \subset S$ and let $A \in \mathcal{B}_R$. First we want to show that the limit of $\alpha_t^S(A)$ as $S \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ exists for $|t| < T$. Fix a strictly increasing sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}$ such that $S_n \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ as $n \to \infty$. The limit we obtain below will be independent of the choice of sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}$ (given any two such sequences, each term of one sequence will be contained in some term of the other one, which allows one to form a new increasing sequence containing elements of both).

For the proof, we need to show that $\{\alpha_t^{S_n}(A)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy. Now for $R \subseteq S_n$:

$$\alpha_t^{S_n}(A) = \tau_t^{S_n}\big(e^{itH_{S_n}^{\rm loc}} A e^{-itH_{S_n}^{\rm loc}}\big) = \tau_t^{S_n}\big(e^{itH_R^{\rm loc}} A e^{-itH_R^{\rm loc}}\big) = \tau_t^{S_n}\big(A(t)\big)$$

where $\tau_t^S := {\rm Ad}(e^{itH_S} e^{-itH_S^{\rm loc}})$ so as $A(t) \in \mathcal{B}_R$, it suffices to show that the sequence $\{\tau_t^{S_n}(A)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy for all $A \in \mathcal{B}_R$.

Fix $S \in \mathcal{S}$, consider the strong operator continuous map $U_S : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$U_S(t, s) := e^{itH_S^{\rm loc}} e^{i(s-t)H_S} e^{-isH_S^{\rm loc}}$$

and note that $U_S(t,t) = \mathbb{1}$, $U_S(t,s)^* = U_S(s,t)$ and $\tau_t^S(A) = U_S(0,t)AU_S(t,0)$. As H_S and $H_S^{\rm loc}$ differ by a bounded operator, they have the same domain $\mathcal{D}$ and this domain is preserved by both unitary groups e^{itH_S} and $e^{itH_S^{\rm loc}}$, hence by $U_S(t,s)$ and so for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}U_S(t,s)\psi = ie^{itH_S^{\rm loc}}(H_S^{\rm loc} - H_S)e^{i(s-t)H_S}e^{-isH_S^{\rm loc}}\psi = -iH_S^{\rm int}(t)U_S(t,s)\psi$$

where $H_S^{\rm int}(t) := e^{itH_S^{\rm loc}}H_S^{\rm int}e^{-itH_S^{\rm loc}}$. Likewise, for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}U_S(t,s)\psi = iU_S(t,s)H_S^{\rm int}(s)\psi.$$

Now by Lemma 6.1 in the Appendix, we conclude that these relations hold on all of $\mathcal{H}$. Let $R \subset S_n \subset S_m$ (hence $n < m$) then by the fundamental theorem of calculus

$$\tau_t^{S_m}(A) - \tau_t^{S_n}(A) = \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds}\Big(U_{S_m}(0,s)U_{S_n}(s,t)A\,U_{S_n}(t,s)U_{S_m}(s,0)\Big)\,ds$$

where the differential and integral is w.r.t. the strong operator topology. The integrand is for any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{ds}\,U_{S_m}(0,s)U_{S_n}(s,t)A\,U_{S_n}(t,s)U_{S_m}(s,0)\psi \\
&= iU_{S_m}(0,s)\Big[(H_{S_m}^{\rm int}(s) - H_{S_n}^{\rm int}(s)), U_{S_n}(s,t)A\,U_{S_n}(t,s)\Big]U_{S_m}(s,0)\psi \\
&= iU_{S_m}(0,s)e^{isH_{S_n}^{\rm loc}}\Big[N(s), \alpha_{s-t}^{S_n}(A(t))\Big]e^{-isH_{S_n}^{\rm loc}}U_{S_m}(s,0)\psi \qquad \text{where} \\
N(s) &:= e^{-isH_{S_n}^{\rm loc}}(H_{S_m}^{\rm int}(s) - H_{S_n}^{\rm int}(s))e^{isH_{S_n}^{\rm loc}} = e^{isH_{S_m\setminus S_n}^{\rm loc}}H_{S_m}^{\rm int}e^{-isH_{S_m\setminus S_n}^{\rm loc}} - H_{S_n}^{\rm int} \\
&= {\rm Ad}\Big(e^{isH_{S_m\setminus S_n}^{\rm loc}}\Big)\Big(\sum_{p\in\Lambda_{S_m}^2\setminus\Lambda_{S_n}^2}\widetilde{W}(p) + \sum_{\ell\in\Lambda_{S_m}^1\setminus\Lambda_{S_n}^1}B(\ell)\Big) \\
&= {\rm Ad}\Big(e^{isH_{S_m\setminus S_n}^{\rm loc}}\Big)\Big(\sum_{q\in\Lambda_{S_m}^i\setminus\Lambda_{S_n}^i}\Psi(q)\Big) \qquad\qquad \text{and} \\
A(t) &= e^{itH_R^{\rm loc}}Ae^{-itH_R^{\rm loc}} = e^{itH_{S_n}^{\rm loc}}Ae^{-itH_{S_n}^{\rm loc}}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last sum is over $\Lambda_{S_m}^i\setminus\Lambda_{S_n}^i := \Lambda_{S_m}^1\setminus\Lambda_{S_n}^1 \cup \Lambda_{S_m}^2\setminus\Lambda_{S_n}^2$ and $\Psi(p) := \widetilde{W}(p)$ for plaquette p, and $\Psi(\ell) := B(\ell)$ for a link ℓ. Then $\|\Psi\| := \max\{\|\widetilde{W}\|, \|B\|\} \geq \|\Psi(q)\|$ for all q.

The support of $N(s)$ is contained in $S_m\setminus(S_n)_0$ which is defined as the set of all the lattice points in S_m (and links between them) obtained from either links in $\Lambda_{S_m}^1\setminus\Lambda_{S_n}^1$ or plaquettes in $\Lambda_{S_m}^2\setminus\Lambda_{S_n}^2$. Now $N(s)$ is a sum of terms with support in $q \in \Lambda_{S_m}^i\setminus\Lambda_{S_n}^i$, and only those for which q has a point in S_n will have nonzero commutant with $\mathcal{B}_{S_n}$. Thus

for $B \in \mathcal{B}_{S_n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [N(s), B] &= [\widetilde{N}(s), B] \qquad \text{where} \\ \widetilde{N}(s) &:= \mathrm{Ad}\Big(e^{isH^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_m \setminus S_n}}\Big)\Big(\sum_{q \in \Delta_{S_m}(S_n)}{}' \Psi(q)\Big) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (3.15) \\ \Delta_T(R) &:= \{Z \subset T \,\big|\, Z \cap R \neq \emptyset \neq Z \cap (T \backslash R)\}, \qquad (3.16) \end{aligned}$$

and the prime on the sum indicates that it is restricted by requiring q to be a link or plaquette.

Thus, using Lemma 6.2 in the Appendix, and the fact that $\mathcal{H}$ is separable, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|\tau_t^{S_m}(A) - \tau_t^{S_n}(A)\| &\leq \int_{t-}^{t^+} \Big\| \Big[N(s), \alpha_{s-t}^{S_n}(A(t))\Big] \Big\| \, ds \\ &= \int_{t-}^{t^+} \Big\| \Big[\widetilde{N}(s), \alpha_{s-t}^{S_n}(A(t))\Big] \Big\| \, ds \qquad (3.17) \end{aligned}$$

where $t^- = \min\{0, t\}$ and $t^+ = \max\{0, t\}$, using the fact that $\alpha_{s-t}^{S_n}(A(t)) \in \mathcal{B}_{S_n}$. We will now estimate $\big\|\big[\widetilde{N}(s), \alpha_{s-t}^{S_n}(A(t))\big]\big\|$ (a method known as Lieb-Robinson bounds).

We first define the auxiliary function

$$f(t) := \big[D, \alpha_t^{S_n}(\beta_{-t}(A))\big] \in \mathcal{B}_{S_m},$$

where $\beta_t := \mathrm{Ad}(e^{it(H^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_n} + H^{\mathrm{int}}_R)})$, $A \in \mathcal{B}_R$, $R \subset S_n$, and D is any bounded operator with support in $S_m \backslash (S_n)_0$ (we have $\widetilde{N}(s)$ in mind). Then $\|f(0\| \leq 2\|A\|\|D\|\delta_R^{S_n}$ where $\delta_R^{S_n} = 0$ if $R \cap S_m \backslash (S_n)_0 = \emptyset$ (hence $\big[D, A\big] = 0$) and one otherwise.

We claim that β_t preserves $\mathcal{B}_R$. To see this, note that both $\alpha_{S_n}^{\mathrm{loc}}(t) := \mathrm{Ad}(e^{itH^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_n}})$ and $\alpha_R^{\mathrm{int}}(t) := \mathrm{Ad}(e^{itH^{\mathrm{int}}_R})$ preserve $\mathcal{B}_R$, hence restrict to $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_R) \subset \mathcal{B}_R = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. Then for these restrictions $\alpha_{S_n}^{\mathrm{loc}}$ is a C_0-group (i.e. strongly continuous), and α_R^{int} is uniformly continuous (with bounded generator). Then the sum of the generators of these two groups is again a generator of a C_0-group on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ by Theorem 3.1.33 in [2], and this C_0-group extends uniquely to an automorphic action of $\mathbb{R}$ on $M(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_R))$. However the sum of the generators of the two groups on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ coincides with the generator of the W*-action β_t, hence β_t preserves $\mathcal{B}_R$.

Now $\alpha_t^{S_n} \circ \beta_{-t} = \mathrm{Ad}\big(V(t, -t)\big)$ where $V(s, t) := \exp\left(isH_{S_n}\right) \exp\left(it(H^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_n} + H^{\mathrm{int}}_R)\right)$. As $V(s, t)$ is strong operator continuous in s, t and both H_{S_n} and $(H^{\mathrm{loc}}_{S_n} + H^{\mathrm{int}}_R)$ have the same domain, which is preserved by these unitaries, it follows from strong differentiation

on the domain and Lemma 6.1 in Appendix, that for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(t,-t)\psi = ie^{itH_{S_n}}(H_{S_n}^{\rm int} - H_R^{\rm int})e^{-it(H_{S_n}^{\rm loc}+H_R^{\rm int})}\psi = i(H_{S_n}^{\rm int} - H_R^{\rm int})(t)\, V(t,-t)\psi.$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}f(t)\psi &= i\Big[D, \alpha_t^{S_n}(\big[(H_{S_n}^{\rm int} - H_R^{\rm int}),\, \beta_{-t}(A)\big]\big)\Big]\psi \\ &= i\Big[D, \alpha_t^{S_n}\Big(\big[\sum_{q\in\Lambda_{S_n}^i\setminus\Lambda_R^i}\Psi(q),\, \beta_{-t}(A)\big]\Big)\Big]\psi \\ &= i\Big[D, \alpha_t^{S_n}\Big(\big[\sum_{q\in\Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}'\Psi(q),\, \beta_{-t}(A)\big]\Big)\Big]\psi\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\beta_{-t}(A) \in \mathcal{B}_R$ in the third step. Next, we define

$$\widetilde{H}_R^{\rm int} := \sum_{q\in\Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}'\Psi(q), \quad \text{then}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}V(t,-t)\psi &= i\Big[D, \alpha_t^{S_n}(\big[\widetilde{H}_R^{\rm int},\, \beta_{-t}(A)\big]\big)\Big]\psi \\ &= i\big[\alpha_t^{S_n}(\widetilde{H}_R^{\rm int}), f(t)\big]\psi - i\Big[\alpha_t^{S_n}(\beta_{-t}(A)), \big[D, \alpha_t^{S_n}(\widetilde{H}_R^{\rm int})\big]\Big]\psi.\end{aligned}$$

As the first term is a commutator with a bounded operator, it is a bounded linear map on $\mathcal{B}_{S_m}$, hence by Lemma 6.3 in the appendix we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(t\| &\le \|f(0)\| + 2\|A\|\int_{t^-}^{t^+}\Big\|\big[D, \alpha_r^{S_n}(\widetilde{H}_R^{\rm int})\big]\Big\|\, dr, \\ \frac{\big\|\big[D, \alpha_t^{S_n}(\beta_{-t}(A))\big]\big\|}{2\|A\|} &\le \|D\|\delta_R^{S_n} + \sum_{q\in\Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}'\int_{t^-}^{t^+}\big\|\big[D, \alpha_r^{S_n}(\Psi(q))\big]\big\|\, dr.\end{aligned}$$

As $\beta_t := \mathrm{Ad}(e^{it(H_{S_n}^{\rm loc}+H_R^{\rm int})})$ preserves $\mathcal{B}_R$, we can replace A by $\beta_t(A)$ to get the estimate

$$\frac{\big\|\big[D, \alpha_t^{S_n}(A)\big]\big\|}{2\|A\|} \le \|D\|\delta_R^{S_n} + \sum_{q\in\Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}'\int_{t^-}^{t^+}\big\|\big[D, \alpha_r^{S_n}(\Psi(q))\big]\big\|\, dr\,. \tag{3.18}$$

If $\delta_R^{S_n} = 0$, this inequality is potentially better than the naive inequality

$$\big\|\big[D, \alpha_t^{S_n}(A)\big]\big\| \le 2\|A\|\|D\|$$

which will be the case below when we let S_n become large. The inequality (3.18) can now be iterated as $\Psi(q) \in \mathcal{B}_q$. If we substitute $\Psi(q)$ for A in (3.18) we get

$$\frac{\big\|\big[D, \alpha_t^{S_n}(\Psi(q))\big]\big\|}{2\|\Psi\|} \le \|D\|\delta_q^{S_n} + \sum_{q'\in\Delta_{S_n}(q)}{}'\int_{t^-}^{t^+}\big\|\big[D, \alpha_r^{S_n}(\Psi(q'))\big]\big\|\, dr.$$

Substitution of this into the integrand of (3.18) and iterating produces:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\big\|\big[D,\alpha_t^{S_n}(A)\big]\big\|}{2\|A\|} &\leq \|D\|\delta_R^{S_n} + \sum_{q\in\Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}' 2\|D\|\|\Psi\|\delta_q^{S_n}\int_{t^-}^{t^+} 1\,dr \\ &+ 2\sum_{q\in\Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}' \sum_{q'\in\Delta_{S_n}(q)}{}' \|\Psi\|\int_{t^-}^{t^+}\int_{r^-}^{r^+} \big\|\big[D,\alpha_{r'}^{S_n}(\Psi(q'))\big]\big\|\,dr'\,dr \\ &\leq \|D\|\Big(\delta_R^{S_n} + 2\sum_{q\in\Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}' \|\Psi\|\delta_q^{S_n}|t| \\ &\qquad + 4\sum_{q\in\Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}' \sum_{q'\in\Delta_{S_n}(q)}{}' \|\Psi\|^2\delta_{q'}^{S_n}|t|^2/2\Big) \\ &+ 4\sum_{q\in\Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}' \sum_{q'\in\Delta_{S_n}(q)}{}' \sum_{q''\in\Delta_{S_n}(q')}{}' \|\Psi\|^2\int_{t^-}^{t^+}\int_{r_1^-}^{r_1^+}\int_{r_2^-}^{r_2^+} \big\|\big[D,\alpha_{r_3}^{S_n}(\Psi(q''))\big]\big\|\,dr_3\,dr_2\,dr_1\,.\end{aligned}$$

At the N^{th} iteration we have:

$$\frac{\big\|\big[D,\alpha_t^{S_n}(A)\big]\big\|}{2\|A\|} \leq \|D\|\Big(\delta_R^{S_n} + \sum_{k=1}^{N}{}' \frac{(2\|\Psi\||t|)^k}{k!}a_k\Big) + R_N$$

where $\quad a_k := \sum_{q_1\in\Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}' \sum_{q_2\in\Delta_{S_n}(q_1)}{}' \cdots \sum_{q_k\in\Delta_{S_n}(q_{k-1})}{}' \delta_{q_k}^{S_n}$

$$\begin{aligned}R_N := 2^N\|\Psi\|^N \sum_{q_1\in\Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}' \sum_{q_2\in\Delta_{S_n}(q_1)}{}' \cdots \sum_{q_{N+1}\in\Delta_{S_n}(q_N)}{}' \\ \times \int_{t^-}^{t^+}\int_{r_1^-}^{r_1^+}\cdots\int_{r_{N-1}^-}^{r_{N-1}^+} \Big\|\big[D,\alpha_{r_{N+1}}^{S_n}(\Psi(q_{N+1}))\big]\Big\|\,dr_{N+1}\cdots dr_1\,.\end{aligned}$$

To prove that the iteration converges, we need to estimate the remainder term. The integral is bounded by $2\|\Psi\|\,\|D\||t|^{N+1}/(N+1)!$ so we concentrate on counting the number of terms in the sums.

Let S_n be the lattice cube with corner vertices $(\pm n,\pm n,\pm n)$ and $R = S_d$ for d fixed, then for $d < n$ we have that as a link $\ell \in \Delta_{S_n}(R)$ must have one point in R and one point outside, the pairs of endpoints of these links are uniquely specified by the points in $S_n\backslash S_d$ with one nearest neighbour in S_d. For each of the six faces of $R = S_d$ there are $(2d+1)^2$ such points, hence

$$|\Delta_{S_n}(R)\cap\Lambda^1| = 6(2d+1)^2,$$

where we ignored the fact that links have two possible orientations, because Λ^1 only contains one orientation for each link. (We used the notation $|Z|$ for the cardinality of a set Z).

To estimate the number of plaquettes $p \in \Delta_{S_n}(R)$, note that as at least one side of a $p \in \Delta_{S_n}(R)$ must be a link $\ell \in \Delta_{S_n}(R)$, and given a link, there are 4 possible plaquettes

it can belong to, we get

$$|\Delta_{S_n}(R) \cap \Lambda^2| \leq 4 \times |\Delta_{S_n}(R) \cap \Lambda^1| = 24(2d+1)^2.$$

We therefore have the estimate

$$\sum_{q \in \Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}' 1 \leq 30(2d+1)^2. \tag{3.19}$$

Next we want to estimate the size of $\Delta_{S_n}(q) \cap (\Lambda^1 \cup \Lambda^2)$. If q is a link, then there are 10 links in $\Delta_{S_n}(q) \cap \Lambda^1$ for n large enough, and 20 plaquettes in $\Delta_{S_n}(q) \cap \Lambda^2$ (4 for which q is a side, and 16 for which the plaquette has only one vertex in common with q). If q is a plaquette, then there are 16 links in $\Delta_{S_n}(q) \cap \Lambda^1$ for n large enough, and 32 plaquettes in $\Delta_{S_n}(q) \cap \Lambda^2$ (8 in the plane of q, and 24 perpendicular to the plane of q). Thus the number of terms in $\Delta_{S_n}(q)$ is less than or equal to the maximum of 30 and 48, i.e. 48. Thus we have

$$\|R_N\| \leq 30(2d+1)^2(48)^N \|D\| (2\|\Psi\||t|)^{N+1}/(N+1)!$$

and it is clear for a fixed t that this converges to 0 as $N \to \infty$. We conclude that the iteration converges. Thus

$$\frac{\left\| \left[D, \alpha_t^{S_n}(A)\right] \right\|}{2\|A\|} \leq \|D\| \Big(\delta_R^{S_n} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\|\Psi\||t|)^k}{k!} a_k \Big). \tag{3.20}$$

Next, we want to estimate the coefficients a_k. Assuming as above that S_n is the lattice cube with corner vertices $(\pm n, \pm n, \pm n)$ and $R = S_d$ for d fixed, then using the estimates above, we have

$$a_1 = \sum_{q \in \Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}' \delta_q^{S_n} \leq 30(2d+1)^2.$$

Recalling that $\delta_R^{S_n} = 0$ if $R \cap S_m \backslash (S_n)_0 = \emptyset$, if we keep R fixed and let n become large, then $a_1 = 0$.

We can also use the estimates above for

$$a_k = \sum_{q_1 \in \Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}' \sum_{q_2 \in \Delta_{S_n}(q_1)}{}' \cdots \sum_{q_k \in \Delta_{S_n}(q_{k-1})}{}' \delta_{q_k}^{S_n}$$

Note that the sequence

$$(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_k) \quad \text{with} \quad q_i \in \Delta_{S_n}(q_{i-1})$$

specifies a continuous path where the steps are either links or plaquettes, starting from a $q_1 \in \Delta_{S_n}(R)$ which has a point in R. As $\Delta_{S_n}(S_r) \cap (\Lambda^1 \cup \Lambda^2) \subset S_{r+1}$ and $\delta_{S_r}^{S_n} = 0$ if $r < n-2$ we conclude that $\delta_{q_k}^{S_n} = 0$ whenever $k < n-d-2$. Thus

$$a_k = \sum_{q_1 \in \Delta_{S_n}(R)}{}' \sum_{q_2 \in \Delta_{S_n}(q_1)}{}' \cdots \sum_{q_k \in \Delta_{S_n}(q_{k-1})}{}' \delta_{q_k}^{S_n} \leq 30(2d+1)^2(48)^{k-1} \qquad \text{if} \quad k \geq n-d-2,$$

and $a_k = 0$ otherwise. A substitution into (3.20) produces:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\big\|\big[D, \alpha_t^{S_n}(A)\big]\big\|}{2\|A\|} &\leq \|D\|\Big(\delta_{S_d}^{S_n} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\|\Psi\||t|)^k}{k!} a_k\Big) \\
&\leq \|D\|\Big(\delta_{S_d}^{S_n} + \sum_{k=n-d-2}^{\infty} \frac{(2\|\Psi\||t|)^k}{k!} 30(2d+1)^2(48)^{k-1}\Big) \\
&= \|D\|(2d+1)^2 \sum_{k=n-d-2}^{\infty} \frac{5(96\|\Psi\||t|)^k}{8(k!)} \qquad \text{if } n > d+4. \qquad (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the integrand in (3.17), we make the substitutions into Eq. (3.21)

$$D \to \widetilde{N}(s) = \mathrm{Ad}\Big(e^{isH_{S_m \setminus S_n}^{\mathrm{loc}}}\Big)\Big(\sum_{q \in \Delta_{S_m}(S_n)}{}' \Psi(q)\Big), \qquad A \to A(t), \qquad t \to s - t,$$

where $s \in [t_-, t_+]$. Then we obtain

$$\|\widetilde{N}(s)\| = \Big\| \sum_{q \in \Delta_{S_m}(S_n)}{}' \Psi(q) \Big\| \leq \|\Psi\| \sum_{q \in \Delta_{S_m}(S_n)}{}' 1 \leq \|\Psi\| 30(2n+1)^2$$

by the estimates above. As it is obvious that $\|A(t)\| = \|A\|$ and $|s - t| \leq |t|$, we obtain from Eq. (3.21) that if $n > d + 4$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|\big[\widetilde{N}(s), \alpha_{s-t}^{S_n}(A(t))\big]\big\| &\leq 2\|\widetilde{N}(s)\|\|A\|(2d+1)^2 \sum_{k=n-d-2}^{\infty} \frac{5(96\|\Psi\||t|)^k}{8(k!)} \\
&\leq 2\|A\|\|\Psi\| 30(2n+1)^2(2d+1)^2 \sum_{k=n-d-2}^{\infty} \frac{5(96\|\Psi\||t|)^k}{8(k!)}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Substitution of this into (3.17)gives for $n > d + 4$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\tau_t^{S_m}(A) - \tau_t^{S_n}(A)\big\| \\
&\leq 60\|A\|\|\Psi\|(2d+1)^2(2n+1)^2 \int_{t_-}^{t^+} \sum_{k=n-d-2}^{\infty} \frac{5(96\|\Psi\||t|)^k}{8(k!)}\, ds \\
&= \frac{75}{2}\|A\|\|\Psi\||t|(2d+1)^2(2n+1)^2 \sum_{k=n-d-2}^{\infty} \frac{(96\|\Psi\||t|)^k}{k!} \\
&\leq \frac{75}{192}\|A\|(2d+1)^2(2n+1)^2 \frac{(96\|\Psi\||t|)^{n-d-1}}{(n-d-2)!} \exp\big(96\|\Psi\||t|\big)\,. \qquad (3.22)
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that this converges to zero as $n \to \infty$ for any t. Furthermore, by first taking the limit $m \to \infty$, the estimate in (3.22) also shows that the limit in n is uniform for $t \in [-T, T]$ for a fixed T. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 3.3 *With notation as above, we have for some $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\max}$ that $t \mapsto \alpha_t(A)$ is not norm continuous, i.e. α is not strongly continuous on $\mathcal{A}_{\max}$.*

Proof: Assume the contrary, i.e. that $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\max})$ is strongly continuous. Then it has a densely defined generator on $\mathcal{A}_{\max}$, which we can perturb by a bounded generator B to obtain a new strongly continuous action $\alpha^B : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\max})$. Fix $S \in \mathcal{S}$ as a large enough lattice cube and recall that $H_S = H_S^{\mathrm{loc}} + H_S^{\mathrm{int}}$ where $H_S^{\mathrm{int}} \in \mathcal{B}_S \subset \mathcal{A}_{\max}$. Let the bounded perturbation B then be

$$B(A) := i[-H_S^{\mathrm{int}}, A], \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_{\max}.$$

Fix a strictly increasing sequence $\{S_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}$ such that $S_n \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ as $n \to \infty$, and $S \subseteq S_1$, and recall that $\alpha_t(A) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_t^{S_n}(A)$. It suffices to prove that $\alpha_t^B(A) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_t^{S_n,B}(A)$ where $\alpha_t^{S_n,B} := \mathrm{Ad}(\exp(it(H_{S_n} - H_S^{\mathrm{int}})))$. This is because on any subset $Z \subset S$ which cannot be reached by a link or plaquette with a point on the boundary of S, we have that $\alpha_t^{S_n,B}$ (hence α_t^B) coincides with the free time evolution $\mathrm{Ad}(\exp(itH_Z^{\mathrm{loc}}))$. As the free time evolution preserves $\mathcal{B}_Z \subset \mathcal{A}_{\max}$ and is not strongly continuous on it, this contradicts with the strong continuity of α_t^B. Recall the Dyson series for bounded perturbations (cf. [2, Theorem 3.1.33]):

$$\alpha_t^B(A) = \alpha_t(A) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-i)^n \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_n \big[\alpha_{t_n}(H_S^{\mathrm{int}}), \big[\alpha_{t_{n-1}}(H_S^{\mathrm{int}}), \ldots [\alpha_{t_1}(H_S^{\mathrm{int}}), \alpha_t(A)] \ldots \big]\big].$$

Now each multiple commutator in the integrands

$$\big[\alpha_{t_n}(H_S^{\mathrm{int}}), \big[\alpha_{t_{n-1}}(H_S^{\mathrm{int}}), \ldots [\alpha_{t_1}(H_S^{\mathrm{int}}), \alpha_t(A)] \ldots \big]\big]$$

can be replaced by the norm limit

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \big[\alpha_{t_n}^{S_k}(H_S^{\mathrm{int}}), \ldots [\alpha_{t_1}^{S_k}(H_S^{\mathrm{int}}), \alpha_t^{S_k}(A)] \ldots \big]$$

and as these norm limits are uniform on compact intervals in the time parameters, the limits can be taken through the (weak operator convergent) integrals to produce:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t^B(A) &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \Big\{ \alpha_t^{S_k}(A) + \\ &\quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-i)^n \int_0^t dt_1 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_n \big[\alpha_{t_n}^{S_k}(H_S^{\mathrm{int}}), \ldots [\alpha_{t_1}^{S_k}(H_S^{\mathrm{int}}), \alpha_t^{S_k}(A)] \ldots \big] \Big\} \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \alpha_t^{S_k,B}(A) \end{aligned}$$

as required. ∎

3.3 Kinematics algebras and regular representations.

In this subsection we will define our new kinematics algebra, show its relation to the kinematics field algebra we previously constructed in [9], and consider the class of regular states and representations.

Having obtained the time evolution $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\max})$, we can now define our kinematics algebra as

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda := C^*\Big(\bigcup_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \alpha_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{A}_S)\Big) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\max} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

which is the minimal C*-algebra which contains all the local field algebras, and is preserved by the dynamics. Note that α does not preserve the local algebras. For the full field algebra, one should take a crossed product w.r.t. the actions of desirable transformations, such as gauge transformations (see below). First, we want to show that the dynamics group $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$ is in fact strongly continuous on $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$.

Theorem 3.4 *With notation as above, we have for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ that $t \mapsto \alpha_t(A)$ is norm continuous, i.e. α is strongly continuous on $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$.*

Proof: It suffices to prove continuity of $t \mapsto \alpha_t(A)$ for $A \in \mathfrak{A}_R$ and $R \in \mathcal{S}$ arbitrary. Fix an $A \in \mathfrak{A}_R$, then

$$\|\alpha_t(A) - A\| \leq \|\alpha_t(A) - \alpha_t^S(A)\| + \|\alpha_t^S(A) - A\|$$

for any S. Fix a $\varepsilon > 0$, then by Theorem 3.2 there is an $S \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\|\alpha_t(A) - \alpha_t^S(A)\| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $t \in [-1, 1]$, and it also holds for all larger S. Fix such an S such that $S \supset R$, and assume that $t \mapsto \alpha_t^S(A)$ is norm continuous (this will be proven below). So $\lim_{t\to 0} \alpha_t^S(A) = A$, hence there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\alpha_t^S(A) - A\| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $t \in [-\delta, \delta]$. Thus if $|t| < \min\{1, \delta\}$, we get that $\|\alpha_t(A) - A\| \leq \varepsilon$, i.e. $\lim_{t\to 0} \alpha_t(A) = A$ as required.

It remains to prove that $t \mapsto \alpha_t^S(A)$ is norm continuous for $A \in \mathfrak{A}_R$, $R \subset S$ (note that α_t^S need not preserve $\mathfrak{A}_R$). Let $\tau_t^S := \mathrm{Ad}(e^{itH_S} e^{-itH_S^{\mathrm{loc}}})$, then

$$\alpha_t^S(A) = \tau_t^S\big(e^{itH_S^{\mathrm{loc}}} A e^{-itH_S^{\mathrm{loc}}}\big) = \tau_t^S\big(e^{itH_R^{\mathrm{loc}}} A e^{-itH_R^{\mathrm{loc}}}\big)$$

hence it suffices to show that the maps $t \mapsto \tau_t^S(B)$ and $t \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}\big(e^{itH_R^{\mathrm{loc}}}\big)(A) =: A(t)$ are both norm continuous for A, $B \in \mathfrak{A}_R$. For the map $t \mapsto A(t)$, recall that

$$e^{itH_R^{\mathrm{loc}}} = U_R^{\mathrm{CAR}}(t) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_R^1} U_\ell(t) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell'\notin\Lambda_R^1} \mathbf{1}$$

where $U_R^{\mathrm{CAR}}(t) = \exp\big(itma^3 \sum_{x\in\Lambda_R^0} \bar{\psi}_i(x)\psi_i(x)\big) \in \mathfrak{F}_R$ and $U_\ell(t) := \exp(it\overline{E_{ij}(\ell)E_{ji}(\ell)})$. As the generator of $U_R^{\mathrm{CAR}}(t)$ is bounded, $t \mapsto U_R^{\mathrm{CAR}}(t)$ is norm continuous. As

$$\mathfrak{A}_R = \mathfrak{F}_R \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_R^1} \mathcal{L}_\ell \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\notin\Lambda_R^1} \mathbb{1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\ell := C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G$ acts on the factor $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ as the algebra of compacts $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\ell)$ and $t \mapsto U_\ell(t)KU_\ell(-t)$ is norm continuous for a compact operator $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\ell)$, it follows that the map $t \mapsto A(t)$ is norm continuous.

Finally, as $t \mapsto \alpha_t^S \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{B}_S)$ is a bounded perturbation of the weak operator continuous one–parameter automorphism group $t \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}\big(e^{itH_S^{\mathrm{loc}}}\big)$ on $\mathcal{B}_S \supset \mathfrak{A}_R$, we may express its cocycle $\tau_t^S = \mathrm{Ad}(e^{itH_S}e^{-itH_S^{\mathrm{loc}}})$ as a Dyson series: (cf. [2, Theorem 3.1.33]):

$$\tau_t^S(B) = B + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i^n \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_n \big[H_S^{\mathrm{int}}(t_n), \big[H_S^{\mathrm{int}}(t_{n-1}), \ldots [H_S^{\mathrm{int}}(t_1), B] \ldots \big]\big],$$

where $H_S^{\mathrm{int}}(t) := \mathrm{Ad}\big(e^{itH_S^{\mathrm{loc}}}\big)(H_S^{\mathrm{int}})$ and thus $\|H_S^{\mathrm{int}}(t)\| = \|H_S^{\mathrm{int}}\| < \infty$. Thus we obtain the estimate

$$\big\|\tau_t^S(B) - \tau_{t'}^S(B)\big\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\big|t^n - (t')^n\big|}{n!} 2^n \|H_S^{\mathrm{int}}\|^n \|B\| \,.$$

It is clear that this convergent series approaches zero when $t \to t'$, hence also $t \mapsto \tau_t^S(B)$ is continuous, from which it follows that $t \mapsto \alpha_t^S(A)$ is norm continuous for $A \in \mathfrak{A}_R$, as required. ∎

Thus $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is a convenient field algebra, in fact we can construct for it the crossed product for the time evolution, which is not possible for $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\max})$.

Next we want to examine covariant representations for the automorphism group $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$, and consider the question of ground states. As the action α is a strongly continuous action of a locally compact group, it defines a C*-dynamical system in the usual sense. Therefore we can construct its crossed product (cf. [22]), and each representation of the crossed product produces a covariant representation for $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$. We therefore obtain a rich supply of covariant representations. However, for physics, the physically appropriate class of representations should be regular in the following sense:

Definition 3.5 *A representation π of $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is* **regular** *if its restriction to each local algebra $\mathfrak{A}_S$, $S \in \mathcal{S}$, is nondegenerate (i.e. $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_S)$ has no nonzero null spaces). A state is regular if its GNS representation is regular.*

Note for a regular covariant representation π, that π is also nondegenerate on all the time evolved local algebras $\alpha_t(\mathfrak{A}_S)$.

The reasons why physical representations should be regular, are as follows. First, one requires that the local observables do not all have zero expectation values w.r.t. any (normalized) vector state in the representation, i.e. the local observables in the field algebra should be visible in any physically realizable state of the system. Second, observe that the local Hamiltonians H_S in the defining representation have compact

resolvents (see the next subsection below), hence $(i\mathbb{1} - H_S)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{A}_S$. Thus, if a representation π of $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is degenerate on $\mathfrak{A}_S$, then $\pi((i\mathbb{1} - H_S)^{-1})$ has a nonzero kernel, hence it cannot be the resolvent of an operator (cf. Theorem 1 in [31, p 216]), thus the observable H_S does not exist in this representation. Also observe, that this definition of regularity coincides with the one used in [5, 8].

We now discuss the relation of the kinematics field algebra we constructed before in [9] with the kinematics field algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ we constructed here. (For the full field algebras we need to add identities and construct crossed products w.r.t. gauge transformations and time evolutions). The reader in a hurry may omit the rest of this subsection.

The kinematics field algebra constructed in [9] is $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda := \mathfrak{F}_\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{L}[E]$ where $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$ is the Fermion algebra associated with Λ, and $\mathcal{L}[E]$ is a new "infinite tensor product" of the algebra of compact operators. Concretely, $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ is represented on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{Fock}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\infty$ as a tensor product representation as follows. We let the Fermion algebra $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda$ act in the Fock representation on $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Fock}}$. Next, to see how $\mathcal{L}[E]$ acts on $\mathcal{H}_\infty$, recall first that $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ is the completion of the pre–Hilbert spanned by finite combinations of elementary tensors of the type

$$\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_k \otimes \psi_0 \otimes \psi_0 \otimes \cdots, \quad \varphi_i \in \mathcal{H}_i = L^2(G),\ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Choose an increasing sequence of commuting finite dimensional projections $\{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{K}\big(L^2(G)\big) = \pi_0\big(C(G) \rtimes_\lambda G\big)$ which is an approximate identity for $\mathcal{K}\big(L^2(G)\big)$ and with $\psi_0 \in E_n L^2(G)$ for all n. (By [9] there exists a choice of $\{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which is invariant w.r.t. a certain action of $G \times G$, but we will not insist on this point here.) Then elementary tensors of the form

$$A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_k \otimes E_{n_{k+1}} \otimes E_{n_{k+2}} \otimes \cdots, \qquad A_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i),\ n_j \in \mathbb{N}$$

act entrywise on $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ in a consistent way, so they define operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\infty)$. In particular $\mathcal{L}[E]$ is the C*-algebra generated by those elementary tensors where all $A_i \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_i)$, and we now have represented $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ on $\mathcal{H}$. (In [9] we allowed the approximate identity $\{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to be different for different entries, but this generality is not needed).

For a finite connected subgraph $S \in \mathcal{S}$ of (Λ^0, Λ^1), we obviously have the subalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_S := \mathfrak{F}_S \otimes \mathcal{L}_S[E]$ where $\mathcal{L}_S[E]$ is the C*-algebra generated in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\infty)$ by those elementary tensors of the type

$$\Big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1_S} K_\ell\Big) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\notin\Lambda^1_S} E_\ell, \qquad K_\ell \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\ell)$$

where E_ℓ denotes an element of $\{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ placed in the position of the tensor product corresponding to the link ℓ. As $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_2) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ we have in fact that

$\mathcal{L}_S[E]$ is the closure of the space spanned by

$$\Big\{K \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell \notin \Lambda_S^1} E_\ell \;\Big|\; K \in \mathcal{K}(\bigotimes_{\ell \in \Lambda_S^1} \mathcal{H}_\ell),\ E_\ell \in \{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\Big\}.$$

Thus by compactness of the projections E_n, we have $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_S \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_T$ if $S \subset T$. This should be contrasted with $\mathfrak{A}_S \subset M(\mathfrak{A}_T)$ for the local algebras. Now

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda = \varinjlim \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_S = \varinjlim \big(\mathfrak{F}_S \otimes \mathcal{L}_S[E]\big).$$

Recall that for a finite connected subgraph $S \in \mathcal{S}$ of (Λ^0, Λ^1), we defined

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_S &:= [\mathfrak{F}_S\Omega] \otimes [\mathcal{L}_S\psi_0^\infty], \qquad \mathcal{B}_S := \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \\ \mathcal{A}_{\max} &:= \varinjlim \mathcal{B}_S = C^*\Big(\bigcup_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S)\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ acts as the identity on the factors $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ corresponding to $\ell \notin S$. By Equation (2.13), we realized $\mathcal{B}_S$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$\mathcal{B}_S = \pi_{\mathrm{Fock}}(\mathfrak{F}_S) \otimes \mathcal{B}\Big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_S^1} \mathcal{H}_\ell\Big) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\notin\Lambda_S^1} \mathbb{1}.$$

The spanning elementary tensors for $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ are all of the form

$$A_F \otimes K_S \otimes K_Q \otimes E_{n_{k+1}} \otimes E_{n_{k+2}} \otimes \cdots, \qquad A_F \in \pi_{\mathrm{Fock}}(\mathfrak{F}_R),$$

for $R = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \supset S$, $Q = R\backslash S$ and $K_\lambda \in \mathcal{K}\Big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_\lambda^1} \mathcal{H}_\ell\Big)$ for $\lambda \in \{S, Q\}$. Thus the product of an elementary tensor in $\mathcal{B}_S$ with such a tensor can only change the first two factors, and will again produce an elementary tensor of this kind. As the action of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is nondegenerate, this implies that $\mathcal{B}_S$ is in the multiplier algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$. Thus for the C*-algebra they generate we also have $\mathcal{A}_{\max} \subset M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big)$. As the kinematics field algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ we constructed here is contained in $\mathcal{A}_{\max}$, we conclude that also $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda \subset M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big)$. This implies that every representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ extends uniquely (on the same space) to $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$, but not conversely. Every representation of $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ which is obtained from a nondegenerate representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ in this manner is regular, which is the content of the next lemma:-

Lemma 3.6 *Given, in the notation above that $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda \subset M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big)$, let π be a nondegenerate representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$, and let $\widetilde{\pi}$ be the unique extension of π on the same Hilbert space to $M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big)$. Then $\widetilde{\pi}\restriction\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is regular. Likewise, if $\widetilde{\omega}$ is the unique extension of a state ω on $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ to a state on $M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big)$, then $\widetilde{\omega}\restriction\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is regular.*

Proof: By Theorem A.2(vii) of [11], it suffices for the first part to show that $\mathfrak{A}_S \cdot \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ is strictly dense in $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Recall from above that on $\mathcal{H}$, we have $\mathfrak{A}_S = \mathfrak{F}_S \otimes \mathcal{K}\Big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_S^1} \mathcal{H}_\ell\Big) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\notin\Lambda_S^1} \mathbb{1}$, and that $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ is spanned by the elementary tensors

$$A_F \otimes K_S \otimes K_Q \otimes E_{n_{k+1}} \otimes E_{n_{k+2}} \otimes \cdots, \qquad A_F \in \pi_{\mathrm{Fock}}(\mathfrak{F}_R),$$

for $R = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \supset S$, $Q = R\backslash S$ and $K_\lambda \in \mathcal{K}\Big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_\lambda^1} \mathcal{H}_\ell\Big)$ for $\lambda \in \{S, Q\}$. Let $\{Y_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{K}\Big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_S^1} \mathcal{H}_\ell\Big)$ be an approximate identity for $\mathcal{K}\Big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_S^1} \mathcal{H}_\ell\Big)$, then $\mathbb{1}_F \otimes Y_i \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\notin\Lambda_S^1} \mathbb{1} \in \mathfrak{A}_S$ where $\mathbb{1}_F$ is the identity of $\mathfrak{F}_R$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\mathbb{1}_F \otimes Y_i \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\notin\Lambda_S^1} \mathbb{1}\Big)\Big(A_F \otimes K_S \otimes K_Q \otimes E_{n_{k+1}} \otimes E_{n_{k+2}} \otimes \cdots\Big) \\
&\quad = A_F \otimes Y_i K_S \otimes K_Q \otimes E_{n_{k+1}} \otimes E_{n_{k+2}} \otimes \cdots \\
&\quad \longrightarrow A_F \otimes K_S \otimes K_Q \otimes E_{n_{k+1}} \otimes E_{n_{k+2}} \otimes \cdots \qquad \text{as } i \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathfrak{A}_S \cdot \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ is dense in $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$, and as the strict topology is weaker than the norm topology, this implies strict density. As S is arbitrary, this proves that $\widetilde{\pi}\restriction\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is regular.

If $\widetilde{\omega}$ is the unique extension of a state ω on $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ to a state on $M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big)$, then $\pi_{\widetilde{\omega}}$ is the unique extension of π_ω on the same Hilbert space to $M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big)$. This is because $\widetilde{\omega}$ is strictly continuous on $M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big)$, hence $\pi_{\widetilde{\omega}} : M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{\omega}})$ is strictly continuous w.r.t. the strong operator topology of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{\omega}})$. By the strict density of $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ in $M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big)$ we get that

$$[\pi_{\widetilde{\omega}}(M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big))\Omega_{\widetilde{\omega}}] = [\pi_{\widetilde{\omega}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda)\Omega_{\widetilde{\omega}}] = [\pi_\omega(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda)\Omega_\omega] = \mathcal{H}_\omega.$$

Then $\pi_{\widetilde{\omega}}$ is regular by the first part, hence $\widetilde{\omega}\restriction\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is regular. ∎

By adapting the (lengthy) proof of Theorem 3.6 in [8], we can also prove the converse, i.e. that if a representation of $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is regular, then it is obtained from a nondegenerate representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ by the unique extension to $M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big)$ on the same space. However, this will not be needed here.

3.4 Ground states for the global dynamics.

Next we want to examine covariant representations for the automorphism group $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$. and consider the question of ground states. For physics, only covariant representations where the generator of time translations is positive is acceptable, and even more, for these representations ground states are needed. As $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$ is a continuous action of an amenable group, it certainly has invariant states, but the difficult parts are to prove regularity and the spectrum condition for such an invariant

state, establishing it as a regular ground state. To construct a ground state and establish its properties, we will follow a familiar method from [5].

First, we need to consider the local Hamiltonians in greater detail. As the restriction to $\mathcal{H}_S$ of the embedded copy of $\mathcal{B}_S = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\max}$ is faithful, we will do the analysis on

$$\mathcal{H}_S = [\mathfrak{F}_S\Omega] \otimes [\mathcal{L}_S\psi_0^\infty] = [\mathfrak{F}_S\Omega] \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_S^1} L^2(G) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell'\notin\Lambda_S^1} \psi_0 \subset \mathcal{H}.$$

Separating the bounded and unbounded parts of H_S on $\mathcal{H}_S$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} H_S &= H_S^{(0)} + H_S^{\text{bound}} \qquad \text{on} \qquad [\mathfrak{F}_S\Omega] \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_S \subset \mathcal{H}_S \subset \mathcal{H}_{\text{Fock}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\infty \qquad \text{where:} \\ H_S^{(0)} &:= \tfrac{a}{2} \sum_{\ell\in\Lambda_S^1} E_{ij}(\ell)E_{ji}(\ell), \qquad H_S^{\text{bound}} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S) \qquad \text{and} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_S &= \bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_S^1} C^\infty(G) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell'\notin\Lambda_S^1} \psi_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now $H_S^{(0)} = \mathbb{1} \otimes R_S \otimes \mathbb{1}$ where R_S is the group Laplacian for the compact Lie group $G_S := \prod_{\ell\in\Lambda_S^1} G$ on $L^2(G_S) \cong \bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda_S^1} L^2(G)$. Thus by the theory of elliptic operators on compact Riemannian manifolds, we conclude that for R_S its eigenvalues are isolated, and its eigenspaces are finite dimensional, cf. Theorem III.5.8 in [19] and [4]. Thus it has compact resolvent, i.e. $(i\mathbb{1} - R_S)^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(G_S))$. As $[\mathfrak{F}_S\Omega]$ is finite dimensional, this is also true for $H_S^{(0)}$ on $[\mathfrak{F}_S\Omega] \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_S \subset \mathcal{H}_S$, i.e. $\big(i\mathbb{1} - H_S^{(0)}\big)^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_S)$. Then

$$(i\mathbb{1} - H_S)^{-1} = \big(i\mathbb{1} - H_S^{(0)}\big)^{-1} + (i\mathbb{1} - H_S)^{-1} H_S^{\text{bound}} \big(i\mathbb{1} - H_S^{(0)}\big)^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_S)$$

hence $H_S = H_S^{(0)} + H_S^{\text{bound}}$ also has discrete spectrum with finite dimensional eigenspaces. As $H_S^{(0)}$ is positive and unbounded, and H_S^{bound} is bounded, H_S is bounded from below. Thus the lowest point in the spectrum of H_S is an eigenvalue $\lambda_S^{\text{grnd}} \in \mathbb{R}$ with finite dimensional eigenspace $\mathcal{E}_S \subset \mathcal{H}_S$. Fix a normalized eigenvector $\Omega_S \in \mathcal{E}_S \subset \mathcal{H}_S \subset \mathcal{H}$. We conclude that the vector state $\omega_S(\cdot) := \big(\Omega_S, \cdot\, \Omega_S\big)$ is a ground state for the local time evolution $\alpha^S : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Aut}\big(\mathcal{A}_{\max}\big)$ (and for its restriction to subalgebras such as $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$). In the original representation,

$$\widetilde{H}_S := H_S - \mathbb{1}\lambda_S^{\text{grnd}}$$

will be the positive Hamiltonian for $\alpha_t^S = \text{Ad}\big(\exp(it\widetilde{H}_S)\big)$ with smallest eigenvalue zero.

To construct a regular ground state for $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$, we proceed as follows. Fix a strictly increasing sequence $\{S_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}$ such that $S_n \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ as $n \to \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ choose a state ω_n on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ in the norm closed convex hull of vector states

$$A \mapsto \big(\Omega_{S_n},\, A\,\Omega_{S_n}\big), \qquad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),$$

where $\Omega_{S_n} \in \mathcal{H}_{S_n}$ ranges over the normalized vectors in the eigenspace $\mathcal{E}_{S_n}$ of H_{S_n}. This sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ need not converge, but by the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, the closed unit ball in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$ is compact in the weak *–topology, hence the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has weak *–limit points, and these limit points are states. From such weak *–limit points we now want to show that we can obtain regular ground states on $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$. First we prove regularity.

Lemma 3.7 *In the context above, for the increasing sequence $S_n \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ we fix S_n to be the lattice cube with corner vertices $(\pm n, \pm n, \pm n)$, which produces the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let ω_∞ be a weak *–limit point of $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$. Then the restriction of ω_∞ to $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is regular.*

Proof: We have to prove that $\pi^o_\infty(\mathfrak{A}_S)$ is nondegenerate on $\mathcal{H}^o_\infty$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$, where $(\pi^o_\infty, \Omega^o_\infty, \mathcal{H}^o_\infty)$ denotes the GNS–data of $\omega_\infty\restriction\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$.

First consider ω_∞ on all of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with GNS–data $(\widetilde{\pi}_\infty, \widetilde{\Omega}_\infty, \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty)$. The GNS–data set of ω_∞ restricted to any subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is just given by the subspace $[\widetilde{\pi}_\infty(\mathcal{A})\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty] \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty$ with the action of $\widetilde{\pi}_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ on it, with cyclic vector $\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty$. In particular, $\mathcal{H}^o_\infty$ is identified (i.e. unitarily equivalent) to

$$[\widetilde{\pi}_\infty(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty] = \Big[\widetilde{\pi}_\infty\Big(C^*\Big(\bigcup_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \alpha_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{A}_S)\Big)\Big)\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty\Big].$$

We will prove below that $\|\omega_\infty\restriction\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}\| = 1$ for all n. Assuming this, then on each $M(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n})$, ω_∞ is uniquely determined by its restriction to $\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}$ (cf. Prop. 2.11.7 in [6]). Let $(\pi^{S_n}_\infty, \Omega^{S_n}_\infty, \mathcal{H}^{S_n}_\infty)$ denote the GNS–data of $\omega_\infty\restriction M(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n})$, then this means that $\pi^{S_n}_\infty$ is strictly continuous w.r.t. the strong operator topology of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{S_n}_\infty)$. Then, using the strict density of $\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}$ in $M(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n})$, we obtain as in the proof of Lemma 3.6 that

$$\big[\pi^{S_n}_\infty\big(M(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n})\big)\Omega^{S_n}_\infty\big] = \big[\pi^{S_n}_\infty\big(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}\big)\Omega^{S_n}_\infty\big] = \mathcal{H}^{S_n}_\infty.$$

In fact, using strict density of $C^*\Big(\bigcup_{S\subseteq S_n} \alpha^{S_n}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{A}_S)\Big) \subseteq M(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n})$, we have

$$\Big[\pi^{S_n}_\infty\big(C^*\Big(\bigcup_{S\subseteq S_n} \alpha^{S_n}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{A}_S)\Big)\big)\Omega^{S_n}_\infty\Big] = \big[\pi^{S_n}_\infty\big(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}\big)\Omega^{S_n}_\infty\big] = \mathcal{H}^{S_n}_\infty.$$

Using the identification above of $\mathcal{H}^{S_n}_\infty$ with a subspace of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty$, this means that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\widetilde{\pi}_\infty\big(M(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n})\big)\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty\Big] &= \Big[\widetilde{\pi}_\infty\big(C^*\Big(\bigcup_{S\subseteq S_n} \alpha^{S_n}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{A}_S)\Big)\big)\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty\Big] \\ &= \Big[\widetilde{\pi}_\infty\big(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}\big)\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty\Big] = \mathcal{H}^{S_n}_\infty \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_\infty. \qquad (3.23)\end{aligned}$$

Note that that if $n < m$ then $M(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}) \subset M(\mathfrak{A}_{S_m})$ hence equation (3.23) implies that

$$\Big[\widetilde{\pi}_\infty\big(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}\big)\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty\Big] \subseteq \Big[\widetilde{\pi}_\infty\big(\mathfrak{A}_{S_m}\big)\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty\Big].$$

Moreover, by Theorem 3.2 we have $\alpha_t(A) = \lim_{S \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^3} \alpha_t^S(A)$ hence $\alpha_t(A)$ for $A \in \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is in the norm closure of $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{S\subseteq S_n} \alpha_{\mathbb{R}}^{S_n}(\mathfrak{A}_S)$ and so from (3.23) we see

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty^o = [\widetilde{\pi}_\infty(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty] = \Big[\widetilde{\pi}_\infty\Big(C^*\Big(\bigcup_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \alpha_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{A}_S)\Big)\Big)\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty\Big] = \Big[\widetilde{\pi}_\infty\Big(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{A}_{S_n}\Big)\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty\Big]. \tag{3.24}$$

Therefore, to prove for a fixed S that $\pi_\infty^o(\mathfrak{A}_S)$ is nondegenerate on $\mathcal{H}_\infty^o$, it suffices to prove that it is nondegenerate on each of the spaces $\big[\widetilde{\pi}_\infty\big(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}\big)\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty\big]$ as they are increasing in n, and their union is dense in $\mathcal{H}_\infty^o$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough so that $S \subset S_k$ then for all $n \geq k$ we have that $\mathfrak{A}_S \subset M(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n})$ and as $\mathfrak{A}_S$ acts nondegenerately on $\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}$, we have for any approximate identity $\{e_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \subset \mathfrak{A}_S$ that $\lim_\gamma e_\gamma A = A$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{S_n}$. Thus

$$\lim_\gamma \big(\widetilde{\pi}_\infty(e_\gamma) - \mathbb{1}\big)\big[\widetilde{\pi}_\infty\big(\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}\big)\widetilde{\Omega}_\infty\big] = 0$$

for all $n \geq k$, hence on all of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$. Thus $\pi_\infty^o(\mathfrak{A}_S)$ is nondegenerate on $\mathcal{H}_\infty^o$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$, i.e. ω_∞ restricted to $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is regular.

It remains to prove that $\|\omega_\infty\restriction\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}\| = 1$ for all n. We will follow the proof of Lemma 7.3 in [5]. Let $m > n \in \mathbb{N}$ and on $\mathcal{H}_{S_m} \subset \mathcal{H}$ consider the operators $\widetilde{H}_n := \widetilde{H}_{S_n}$, $\widetilde{H}_m := \widetilde{H}_{S_m}$ and $\widetilde{H}_{m\setminus n} := \widetilde{H}_{S_m\setminus S_n}$, and use analogous notation for $\lambda_m := \lambda_{S_m}^{\mathrm{grnd}}$ etc. As $[\widetilde{H}_{m\setminus n}, \widetilde{H}_n] = 0$ these operators have a joint dense domain on which $\widetilde{H}_m$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{H}_m = \widetilde{H}_n + \widetilde{H}_{m\setminus n} + {\sum_{q\in\Delta_{S_m}(S_n)}}' \Psi(q) + (\lambda_n + \lambda_{m\setminus n} - \lambda_m)\mathbb{1}$$

using notation from before in (3.15) and (3.16), as the additional terms are bounded. Let Ω be a normalized joint eigenvector for $\widetilde{H}_n$ and $\widetilde{H}_{m\setminus n}$ for the eigenvalue 0, then

$$0 \leq (\Omega, \widetilde{H}_m\Omega) = \Big(\Omega, {\sum_{q\in\Delta_{S_m}(S_n)}}' \Psi(q)\Omega\Big) + \lambda_n + \lambda_{m\setminus n} - \lambda_m.$$

Now recalling the estimate (3.19), we have

$${\sum_{q\in\Delta_{S_m}(S_n)}}' \|\Psi\| \leq 30(2n+1)^2\|\Psi\|.$$

Thus the previous inequality gives

$$\lambda_n + \lambda_{m\setminus n} - \lambda_m \geq -30(2n+1)^2\|\Psi\|,$$

hence

$$\widetilde{H}_m + 60(2n+1)^2\|\Psi\|\mathbb{1} \geq \widetilde{H}_n.$$

Thus for all $\mu > 0$ we have for the resolvents:

$$\big(\widetilde{H}_m + (\mu + 60(2n+1)^2\|\Psi\|)\mathbb{1}\big)^{-1} \leq (\widetilde{H}_n + \mu\mathbb{1})^{-1} \leq 1/\mu\,.$$

From this we obtain

$$\mu\big(\mu + 60(2n+1)^2\|\Psi\|\big)^{-1} \leq \omega_m\big(\mu(\widetilde{H}_n + \mu\mathbb{1})^{-1}\big) \leq 1.$$

As ω_∞ is a weak *–limit point of $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$, there is a subsequence $\{\omega_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which converges to ω_∞ in the weak *–topology. We thus obtain

$$\mu\big(\mu + 60(2n+1)^2\|\Psi\|\big)^{-1} \leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \omega_{n_k}(\mu(\widetilde{H}_n + \mu\mathbb{1})^{-1}) = \omega_\infty(\mu(\widetilde{H}_n + \mu\mathbb{1})^{-1}) \leq 1. \quad (3.25)$$

Above we saw that on $\mathcal{H}_{S_n}$ we have $(\widetilde{H}_n + \mu\mathbb{1})^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{S_n})$, hence on $\mathcal{H}$ we have

$$(\widetilde{H}_n + \mu\mathbb{1})^{-1} \in \mathfrak{A}_{S_n} = \mathfrak{F}_{S_n} \otimes \mathcal{K}\Big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1_{S_n}} \mathcal{H}_\ell\Big) \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\notin\Lambda^1_{S_n}} \mathbb{1}.$$

This proves by (3.25) that $\|\omega_\infty\restriction\mathfrak{A}_{S_n}\| = 1$ for all n. ∎

Lemma 3.8 *Assuming as above an increasing sequence $S_n \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ of lattice cubes, with ω_∞ a weak *–limit point of $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$, then $\omega_\infty\restriction\mathcal{A}_{\max}$ is invariant w.r.t. the automorphism group $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\max})$.*

Proof: Let $\{\omega_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a subsequence weak *–converging to ω_∞. Observe that for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\max}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|\omega_{n_k}(B\alpha_t^{S_{n_k}}(A)) &- \omega_\infty(B\alpha_t(A))\big| \\
&\leq \big|\omega_{n_k}(B\alpha_t^{S_{n_k}}(A) - B\alpha_t(A))\big| + \big|\omega_{n_k}(B\alpha_t(A)) - \omega_\infty(B\alpha_t(A))\big| \\
&\leq \|B\|\big\|\alpha_t^{S_{n_k}}(A) - \alpha_t(A)\big\| + \big|\omega_{n_k}(B\alpha_t(A)) - \omega_\infty(B\alpha_t(A))\big|\,.
\end{aligned}$$

As the last expression goes to 0 for $k \to \infty$, we get that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \omega_{n_k}(B\alpha_t^{S_{n_k}}(A)) = \omega_\infty(B\alpha_t(A)). \quad (3.26)$$

Next observe that as the vector states $A \mapsto \big(\Omega_{S_n},\, A\,\Omega_{S_n}\big)$ are invariant w.r.t. α^{S_n}, so is any state in their norm closed convex hull, so ω_n is α^{S_n}–invariant. Thus

$$\omega_\infty(\alpha_t(A)) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \omega_{n_k}(\alpha_t^{S_{n_k}}(A)) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \omega_{n_k}(A) = \omega_\infty(A)\,,$$

hence ω_∞ is invariant for α. ∎

Consider the restriction of ω_∞ to $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda \subset M\big(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda\big)$. Denote the GNS–data of $\omega\restriction\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ by $(\pi^o_\infty, \Omega^o_\infty, \mathcal{H}^o_\infty, U^o_\infty)$ where

$$U^o_\infty(t)\pi^o_\infty(A)\Omega^o_\infty = \pi^o_\infty(\alpha_t(A))\Omega^o_\infty \quad \forall\, A \in \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda.$$

Then $(\pi^o_\infty, U^o_\infty)$ is a covariant pair for $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$, in particular $t \mapsto U^o_\infty(t)$ is a weak operator continuous unitary group. This follows directly from the strong continuity of $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$ obtained in Theorem 3.4.

Note that for $\omega_\infty \restriction \mathcal{A}_{\max}$, the analogous statement need not be true, because $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{\max})$ is not strongly continuous (cf. Lemma 3.3). Finally, to establish that ω_∞ is a ground state, we need to prove that the generator of $U^o_\infty(t)$ is nonnegative.

Theorem 3.9 *Assuming as above an increasing sequence $S_n \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ of lattice cubes, with ω_∞ a weak *–limit point of $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$, then $\omega_\infty \restriction \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is a regular ground state for $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$.*

Proof: By the preceding lemmas, all that remains to be proven, is that the generator of U^o_∞ is nonnegative. Let h be a Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}$, then we need to prove that $U^o_\infty(h) := \int h(t)U^o_\infty(t)\,dt = 0$ when $\mathrm{supp}(\widehat{h}) \subset (-\infty, 0)$. Fix a Schwartz function such that $\mathrm{supp}(\widehat{h}) \subset (-\infty, 0)$. By (3.24) it suffices to prove for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{S_n}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$0 = U^o_\infty(h)\pi^o_\infty(A)\Omega^o_\infty = \int h(t)U^o_\infty(t)\pi^o_\infty(A)\Omega^o_\infty\,dt = \int h(t)\pi^o_\infty(\alpha_t(A))\Omega^o_\infty\,dt.$$

Let $B \in \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ arbitrary, and let $\{\omega_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a subsequence weak *–converging to ω_∞. Then by equation (3.26) we have

$$\Big(\pi^o_\infty(B^*)\Omega^o_\infty,\, U^o_\infty(h)\pi^o_\infty(A)\Omega^o_\infty\Big) = \int h(t)\omega_\infty(B\alpha_t(A))\,dt = \lim_{k\to\infty}\int h(t)\,\omega_{n_k}(B\alpha_t^{S_{n_k}}(A))\,dt$$

using the dominated convergence theorem to take the limit through the integral. Observe that if ω_{n_k} is a vector state, i.e. $\omega_{n_k}(\cdot) = (\Omega_{S_{n_k}}, \cdot\,\Omega_{S_{n_k}})$ with $\Omega_{S_{n_k}} \in \mathcal{E}_{S_{n_k}}$, then by $\widetilde{H}_{S_{n_k}}\Omega_{S_{n_k}} = 0$ and $\alpha_t^{S_{n_k}} = \mathrm{Ad}\big(e^{it\widetilde{H}_{S_{n_k}}}\big)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\int h(t)\,\omega_{n_k}(B\alpha_t^{S_{n_k}}(A))\,dt &= \int h(t)\big(\Omega_{S_{n_k}},\, Be^{it\widetilde{H}_{S_{n_k}}}A\,\Omega_{S_{n_k}}\big)\,dt \\ &= \big(\Omega_{S_{n_k}},\, B\int h(t)e^{it\widetilde{H}_{S_{n_k}}}\,dt\,A\Omega_{S_{n_k}}\big) = 0\end{aligned}$$

where the strong operator integral $\int h(t)e^{it\widetilde{H}_{S_{n_k}}}\,dt = 0$ because $\widetilde{H}_{S_{n_k}} \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\widehat{h}) \subset (-\infty, 0)$. It follows that this also holds if ω_{n_k} is any state in the norm closed convex hull of these vector states. Then

$$\int h(t)\omega_\infty(B\alpha_t(A))\,dt = \lim_{k\to\infty}\int h(t)\,\omega_{n_k}(B\alpha_t^{S_{n_k}}(A))\,dt = 0\,.$$

As B is arbitrary, it follows that $U^o_\infty(h)\pi^o_\infty(A)\Omega^o_\infty = 0$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{S_n}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ hence $U^o_\infty(h) = 0$. ∎

Note that there are several sources of nonuniqueness for ground states in this argument. Apart from the possibility of different weak *–limit points of $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, there are also different choices of ω_n as the lowest eigenspace $\mathcal{E}_{S_n}$ of H_{S_n} over which Ω_{S_n} ranges may have dimension higher than one.

4 Gauge transformations and constraint enforcement.

To conclude this work, we need to define gauge transformations, enforce the Gauss law and identify the physically observable subalgebra. This analysis was essentially done in [9], but below we recall the details for completeness. After enforcement of constraints, we will consider how the time evolution automorphism group descends to the algebra of physical observables, and prove the existence of a ground state for it.

By construction $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, hence to define gauge transformations on $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ it suffices to define a unitary representation of the gauge group on $\mathcal{H}$ which implements the correct gauge transformations on the local subalgebras $\mathfrak{A}_S \subset \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$.

The local gauge transformations on the lattice Λ^0 is the group of maps $\gamma : \Lambda^0 \to G$ of finite support, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda := G^{(\Lambda^0)} = \big\{\gamma : \Lambda^0 \to G \;\big|\; \big|\mathrm{supp}(\gamma)\big| < \infty\big\}, \qquad \mathrm{supp}(\gamma) := \{x \in \Lambda^0 \;|\; \gamma(x) \neq e\}.$$

This is an inductive limit indexed by the finite subsets $S \subset \mathcal{S}$, of the subgroups $\mathrm{Gau}_S\Lambda := \{\gamma : \Lambda^0 \to G \;|\; \mathrm{supp}(\gamma) \subseteq S\} \cong \prod_{x\in S} G$, and we give it the inductive limit topology. It acts on each local field algebra $\mathfrak{A}_S = \mathfrak{F}_S \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1_S} \mathcal{L}_\ell$ by a product action as above in Sect. 2.1, implemented by a unitary (cf. (2.8))

$$\widehat{W}_\zeta := U^F_\zeta \otimes \big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1_S} W^{(\ell)}_\zeta\big), \quad \zeta \in \mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda_S,$$

on $\mathcal{H}_F \otimes \bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1_S} L^2(G)$. Here U^F_ζ is the second quantization on the fermionic Fock space $\mathcal{H}_F$ of the transformation $f \to \zeta \cdot f$ where $(\zeta \cdot f)(x) := \zeta(x)f(x)$ for all $x \in \Lambda^0_S$ and $f \in \mathbf{V}_S$, hence U^F_ζ implements the automorphism $\alpha^1 : \mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda_S \to \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathfrak{F}_{\Lambda_S}$ by $\alpha^1_\zeta(a(f)) := a(\zeta\cdot f)$. The $W^{(\ell)}_\zeta$ are copies of the unitaries $W_\zeta : L^2(G) \to L^2(G)$ by

$$(W_\zeta\varphi)(h) := \varphi(\zeta^{-1}\cdot h) = \varphi(\zeta(x_\ell)^{-1}\, h\, \zeta(y_\ell)).$$

For the full infinite lattice, these unitaries generalize naturally to $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{Fock}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\infty$ by the same formulae, as each $\zeta \in \mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda$ is of finite support, i.e.

$$\widehat{W}_\zeta := U^F_\zeta \otimes \big(\bigotimes_{\ell\in\Lambda^1_{\mathrm{supp}'(\gamma)}} W^{(\ell)}_\zeta\big), \quad \zeta \in \mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda$$

where U^F_ζ is again the second quantization on the fermionic Fock space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{Fock}}$ of the map $f \to \zeta \cdot f$. Here $\mathrm{supp}'(\gamma)$ denotes the subgraph of Λ consisting of all the links which have at least one point in $\mathrm{supp}(\gamma)$. Hence $\Lambda^1_{\mathrm{supp}'(\gamma)}$ consists of the links which have at least one point in $\mathrm{supp}(\gamma)$. In this notation, we assumed that $\widehat{W}_\zeta$ acts as the identity on those factors of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ corresponding to $\ell \notin \mathrm{supp}'(\gamma)$.

This produces a unitary representation $\widehat{W} : \mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the gauge transformation produced by ζ on the system of operators is given by $\mathrm{Ad}(\widehat{W}_\zeta)$, and on the local algebras it produces the same gauge transformations as in Subsect. 2.1. It is clear also that these gauge transformations preserve

$$\mathcal{A}_{\max} = \varinjlim \mathcal{B}_S = C^*\Big(\bigcup_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S)\Big)$$

hence we can use $\mathrm{Ad}(\widehat{W}_\zeta)$ to define gauge transformations on our maximal algebra.

Next we recall that the local Hamiltonians H_S are constructed from gauge invariant terms, and hence $\mathrm{Ad}(\widehat{W}_\zeta)(e^{itH_S}) = e^{itH_S}$ and so for $\alpha_t^S := \mathrm{Ad}(e^{itH_S})$ we have $\alpha_t^S \circ \mathrm{Ad}(\widehat{W}_\zeta) = \mathrm{Ad}(\widehat{W}_\zeta) \circ \alpha_t^S$. Thus for the global time evolutions on $\mathcal{A}_{\max}$ we get from Theorem 3.2 that for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\max}$ and $\zeta \in \mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{W}_\zeta\, \alpha_t(A)\, \widehat{W}_\zeta^* &= \widehat{W}_\zeta\Big(\lim_{S\nearrow\mathbb{Z}^3} \alpha_t^S(A)\Big)\widehat{W}_\zeta^* = \lim_{S\nearrow\mathbb{Z}^3} \widehat{W}_\zeta \alpha_t^S(A) \widehat{W}_\zeta^* \\ &= \lim_{S\nearrow\mathbb{Z}^3} \alpha_t^S\big(\widehat{W}_\zeta A \widehat{W}_\zeta^*\big) = \alpha_t\big(\widehat{W}_\zeta A \widehat{W}_\zeta^*\big)\end{aligned}$$

i.e. the global time evolution also commutes with the gauge transformations. This implies that the gauge transformations $\mathrm{Ad}(\widehat{W}_\zeta)$ will preserve all orbits of the global time evolution, and hence $\mathrm{Ad}(\widehat{W}_\zeta)$ preserves our kinematics algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda := C^*\Big(\bigcup_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \alpha_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{A}_S)\Big)$. By restriction, the gauge transformations are therefore well-defined on $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$, and we will denote the action by $\beta : \mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$. Note that using $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda \subset M(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda)$ this action is the canonical extension of the one on $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_\Lambda$ defined in [9].

Finally, we would like to enforce the Gauss law constraint and identify the physical subalgebra. In [9] we already did this for the local algebras $\mathfrak{A}_S$ in Theorem 4.12, and proved in Theorem 4.13 that the traditional constraint enforcement method - taking the gauge invariant part of the algebra, then factoring out the residual constraints - produced results coinciding with those of the T–procedure (cf. [10]). As the time evolution automorphism group commutes with the gauge transformations, it will respect the constraint reduction, hence define a time evolution automorphism group on the algebra of physical observables.

The concrete constraint reduction in the defining representation of $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ starts with the representation $\widehat{W} : \mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ of the gauge group which implements the gauge transformations. One defines the gauge invariant subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_G := \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \widehat{W}_\zeta \psi = \psi \ \ \forall\, \zeta \in \mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda\}$$

and observes that the cyclic vector $\Omega \otimes \psi_0^\infty$ is in $\mathcal{H}_G$. Let P_G be the projection onto $\mathcal{H}_G$, then an $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ commutes with P_G iff both A and A^* preserve $\mathcal{H}_G$. Thus our observables are in

$$\{P_G\}' \cap \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda \subseteq P_G \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) P_G + (\mathbb{1} - P_G)\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})(\mathbb{1} - P_G).$$

The final step of constraining consists of restricting $\{P_G\}' \cap \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ to $\mathcal{H}_G$, which means that we discard the second part of the decomposition above. On the local algebras $\mathfrak{A}_S$ this will produce a copy of the algebra of compact operators on the gauge invariant part of $\mathcal{H}_S$ by Theorem 4.13 in [9]. The algebra generated by the orbit of the time evolutions of these reduced local algebras will be a particularly important subalgebra of algebra of physical observables, as it is constructed purely from the original physical observables with no involvement of the gauge variables.

Remark:
The terminology we use here comes from the T–procedure (cf. [10]), where the algebra consistent with the constraints is called the observable algebra (here it is $\{P_G\}' \cap \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$), and the final algebra obtained from it by factoring out the ideal generated by the constraints is called the algebra of physical observables. This is different from the terminology in [15, 13] where the observable algebra is the final algebra obtained by factoring out the ideal generated by the constraints from the algebra of gauge invariant variables.

To conclude this section, we will next prove that there are gauge invariant ground states. By Proposition 4.2 in [9] these produce Dirac states on the original algebra, hence states on the algebra of physical observables (cf. Theorem 4.5 in [9]). Such a state on the algebra of physical observables is a ground state w.r.t. the time evolution automorphism group on the algebra of physical observables which is descended from the one on $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$.

Theorem 4.1 *There is a gauge invariant regular ground state for* $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$.

Proof: Recall from Theorem 3.9, that if we take an increasing sequence $S_n \nearrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ of lattice cubes, with ω_∞ a weak *–limit point of $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$, then $\omega_\infty \restriction \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$ is a regular ground state for $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda)$. Here each ω_n is in the closed convex hull of vector states

$$A \mapsto \big(\Omega_{S_n},\, A\,\Omega_{S_n}\big), \qquad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

and $\Omega_S \in \mathcal{H}_S$ ranges over the normalized eigenvectors of the lowest point in the spectrum of H_S. It is clear that if the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$ consists of gauge invariant states, then so are its weak *–limit points, hence it suffices to show for any $S_n \in \mathcal{S}$ that we can find ω_n chosen as above, which is invariant w.r.t. conjugation by the unitaries $\widehat{W} : \mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$.

As $\widehat{W}(\mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda)$ commutes with each H_S, it leaves its eigenspaces invariant, and $\widehat{W}(\mathrm{Gau}\,\Lambda)$ restricted to $\mathcal{H}_S$ is just $\widehat{W}(\mathrm{Gau}_S\Lambda)$. This group is compact, hence by the Peter-Weyl theorem, each eigenspace of H_S in $\mathcal{H}_S$ decomposes into finite dimen-

sional subspaces on which $\widehat{W}(\mathrm{Gau}_S\Lambda)$ acts irreducibly. Choose for the lowest eigenspace $\mathcal{E}_S \subset \mathcal{H}_S$ of H_S such an irreducible component of $\widehat{W}(\mathrm{Gau}_S\Lambda)$ contained in it, and denote the finite dimensional component space by $V_S \subseteq \mathcal{E}_S$. Denote the unit sphere of V_S by E_S. By finite dimensionality of V_S, E_S is compact. Consider the map $\eta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$ by $\eta(\psi)(A) := (\psi, A\psi)$ for $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then η is continuous w.r.t. the Hilbert space topology and the w*-topology of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$, hence η takes compact sets to compact sets. In particular $\eta(E_S) \subset \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ (denoting the state space of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$), is compact in the w*-topology. Denote the norm closed convex hull of $\eta(E_S)$ by $\mathfrak{S}_S$, and observe that it is contained in the finite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$ spanned by the functionals $A \mapsto (v_i, Av_j)$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where v_i and v_j range over some orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ of V_S. As all Hausdorff vector topologies of a finite dimensional vector space coincide (cf. [26, Theorem I.3.2]), we conclude from norm boundedness and closure, that $\mathfrak{S}_S$ is compact w.r.t. the w*-topology.

As E_S is invariant as a set w.r.t. the action of $\widehat{W}(\mathrm{Gau}_S\Lambda)$, we conclude that $\eta(E_S)$ is invariant w.r.t. the action of $\mathrm{Gau}_S\Lambda$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$ by

$$\varphi \mapsto \varphi_\zeta, \quad \text{where} \quad \varphi_\zeta(A) := \varphi(\widehat{W}_\zeta A \widehat{W}_\zeta^*)$$

for $\zeta \in \mathrm{Gau}_S\Lambda$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$. As the action comes from automorphisms on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, it extends to an affine action on the closed convex hull $\mathfrak{S}_S$, which is w*-compact. This action is continuous in the w*-topology, hence as $\mathrm{Gau}_S\Lambda$ is amenable (as it is compact), we obtain that there is an invariant point $\widehat{\omega}_S \in \mathfrak{S}_S$ (cf. [23, Theorem 5.4]). However, the action of $\mathrm{Gau}_S\Lambda$ on $\mathfrak{S}_S$ is just the restriction of the action of $\mathrm{Gau}\Lambda$ on $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ to $\mathfrak{S}_S$, hence $\widehat{\omega}_S \in \mathfrak{S}_S$ is gauge invariant, and can be restricted to $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda$. This concludes the proof that there are gauge invariant ground states. ∎

5 Conclusions.

In the preceding, we have proven the existence of the dynamical automorphism group for QCD on an infinite lattice, and obtained a suitable minimal field algebra on which it acts. This pair defines a C*-dynamical system in the sense that it is strongly continuous. We proved the existence of regular ground states, and discussed how to enforce the Gauss law constraint.

Clearly much more remains to be done, e.g

(1) There is no uniqueness proven or analyzed for the ground states. One needs to determine the properties of the set of ground states.

(2) The form and structure of the physical observable algebra needs to be determined more explicitly.

(3) Existence of the dynamics is not enough, some useful approximation schemes are needed to connect with present analysis on finite lattices.

6 Appendix

Lemma 6.1 *If $B,\ V : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are bounded strong operator continuous maps, and satisfy*

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(t)\psi = B(t)\psi \qquad \forall\, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{6.27}$$

for all ψ in some dense subspace $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, then the relation (6.27) holds for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof: A version of this lemma is proven in Prop. 2.1 (iii) in [21], but as that proof is indirect, we give a direct proof here. We will use the notation $\|V\| := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|V(t)\|$ and $\|B\| := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|B(t)\|$. By integration of (6.27) we obtain for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $h \neq 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \big(V(t+h) - V(t)\big)\psi &= \int_t^{t+h} B(s)\psi\, ds \qquad \forall\, \psi \in \mathcal{D}, \qquad \text{and hence:} \\ \Big\| \frac{1}{h}\big(V(t+h) - V(t)\big)\psi \Big\| &\leq \frac{1}{|h|}\int_{t_-}^{t_+} \|B(s)\psi\|\, ds \leq \|B\|\, \|\psi\| \end{aligned}$$

where $t_- := \min(t, t+h)$ and $t_+ := \max(t, t+h)$. As $\frac{1}{h}\big(V(t+h) - V(t)\big)$ for fixed t, h is bounded, and $\mathcal{D}$ is dense, this implies that $\|\frac{1}{h}\big(V(t+h) - V(t)\big)\| \leq \|B\|$. Let

$$D_h := \frac{1}{h}\big(V(t+h) - V(t)\big) - B(t) \quad \text{hence} \quad \|D_h\| \leq 2\|B\|.$$

We want to prove that $\lim_{h\to 0} D_h\varphi = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e. that (6.27) holds on all of $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ and choose a sequence $\psi_n \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\psi_n \to \varphi$. Fix an $\varepsilon > 0$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\|D_h\varphi\| \leq \|D_h(\varphi - \psi_n)\| + \|D_h\psi_n\| \leq 2\|B\|\, \|\varphi - \psi_n\| + \|D_h\psi_n\|.$$

Choose an n such that $2\|B\|\, \|\varphi - \psi_n\| < \varepsilon/2$. By the limit in (6.27) there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|h| < \delta$ implies $\|D_h\psi_n\| < \varepsilon/2$, and hence $\|D_h\varphi\| < \varepsilon$. Thus $\lim_{h\to 0} D_h\varphi = 0$ as required. ∎

Lemma 6.2 *If $A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a measurable map w.r.t. the strong operator topology, and $\mathcal{H}$ is separable, then $A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a measurable map w.r.t. the norm topology. Then for any bounded interval I we have*

$$\Big\| \int_I A(t)\, dt \Big\| \leq \int_I \|A(t)\| dt.$$

Proof: (cf. Eq. (12) in [21])
By assumption, $t \mapsto \|A(t)\psi\|$ is measurable for each $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$. Consider the supremum

$$\|A(t)\| = \sup\{\|A(t)\psi\| \mid \psi \in \mathcal{H},\ \|\psi\| \leq 1\}.$$

As $\mathcal{H}$ is separable, there is a countable dense set in the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{H}$, which we can arrange into a sequence $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and hence obtain

$$\|A(t)\| = \sup\{\|A(t)\psi_n\| \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

However, the supremum of a sequence of measurable functions is measurable, hence $t \mapsto \|A(t)\|$ is measurable. For the Bochner integral of $t \mapsto A(t)\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ we thus obtain

$$\Big\|\Big(\int_I A(t)\,dt\Big)\psi\Big\| = \Big\|\int_I A(t)\psi\,dt\Big\| \leq \int_I \|A(t)\psi\|dt \leq \int_I \|A(t)\|dt \cdot \|\psi\|.$$

By taking the supremum over ψ in the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{H}$ we obtain that
$\Big\|\int_I A(t)\,dt\Big\| \leq \int_I \|A(t)\|dt$. ∎

Lemma 6.3 *Let $A,\ B : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be strong operator continuous maps, such that $A(t)^* = A(t)$ and $\|A(t)\| < M$ for all t for a fixed M, and assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is separable. Then for any $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, there is a unique strong operator differentiable map $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(t)\psi = i[f(t), A(t)]\psi + B(t)\psi \quad \forall\,\psi \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \textit{and} \quad f(t_0) = f_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

This solution f of the IVP satisfies the estimate

$$\|f(t)\| \leq \|f(t_0)\| + \int_{t_-}^{t_+} \|B(s)\|ds \qquad \forall\, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $t_- := \min\{t_0, t\}$ and $t_+ := \max\{t_0, t\}$.

Proof: (cf. Lemma 2.2 in [21])
We first prove uniqueness of the solution. Given two solutions $f_1,\ f_2$, then for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$(f_1(t) - f_2(t))\psi = \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{d}{ds}\big(f_1(s) - f_2(s)\big)\psi\,ds = \int_{t_0}^{t} i\big[f_1(s) - f_2(s), A(s)\big]\psi\,ds\,.$$

By Lemma 6.2 we thus obtain

$$\|(f_1(t) - f_2(t))\| \leq \int_{t_-}^{t_+} \big\|\big[f_1(s) - f_2(s), A(s)\big]\big\|\,ds \leq 2M \int_{t_-}^{t_+} \|f_1(s) - f_2(s)\|\,ds.$$

Gronwall's Lemma then proves that $f_1(t) - f_2(t) = 0$ and hence we have uniqueness.

Next, we prove existence of the solution f, and for this we recall the Dyson series for propagators, cf. Theorem X.69 in [24], where the properties below are proven. The norm convergent Dyson series is

$$U(t,t_0) := \mathbb{1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n \int_{t_0}^{t} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \cdots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} A(t_1) \cdots A(t_n)\, dt_n \cdots dt_1$$

which is a unitary, and the integrals are defined w.r.t. the strong operator topology. Its basic properties are $U(t,t) = \mathbb{1}$, $U(t,s)^* = U(s,t)$, $U(r,s)U(s,t) = U(r,t)$ and $U(s,t)$ is strong operator differentiable in both entries. As $U(t,s)$ satisfies the equations

$$i\frac{d}{dt}U(t,t_0)\psi = -A(t)U(t,t_0)\psi \qquad \text{and} \qquad i\frac{d}{dt}U(t,t_0)^*\psi = U(t,t_0)^*A(t)\psi$$

for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$, it is easy to verify that

$$f(t) := U(t,t_0)\Big(f_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} U(s,t_0)^* B(s) U(s,t_0)\, ds\Big)U(t,t_0)^*$$

satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(t)\psi = i[f(t), A(t)]\psi + B(t)\psi \quad \forall\, \psi \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \text{and} \quad f(t_0) = f_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

(We used the fact that the product of strong operator differentiable maps is again strong operator differentiable). Then

$$\|f(t)\| \leq \|f_0\| + \Big\| \int_{t_0}^{t} U(s,t_0)^* B(s) U(s,t_0)\, ds \Big\|$$

and application of Lemma 6.2 to the last integral produces the claimed estimate. ∎

Acknowledgements.

We wish to thank Professors B. Nachtergaele and R. Sims for clarifying their work in [20] to us in a number of emails and sending us their manuscript of [21].

References

[1] Blackadar, B.: Operator Algebras. Springer 2006

[2] Bratteli, O., Robinson, D. W.: Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 1, Springer 1987 New York Inc.

[3] Bratteli, O.; Robinson, D.W.: Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics II. Equilibrium States, Models in Quantum Statistical Mechanics. Springer–Verlag, New York 1981

[4] Bruening, J., Heintze, E.: Representations of compact Lie groups and elliptic operators. Invent. Math. **50**, 169–203 (1979)

[5] Buchholz, D., Grundling, H.: The resolvent algebra: A new approach to canonical quantum systems. Journal of Functional Analysis **254**, 2725–2779 (2008)

[6] Dixmier, J.: C*-algebras. Amsterdam: North Holland Publishing Company 1977

[7] Fang, Y-Z., Luo, X-Q. : arXiv: hep-lat/0108025v1 (2001)

[8] Grundling, H., Neeb, K-H.: Full regularity for a C*-algebra of the Canonical Commutation Relations, Rev. Math. Phys. **21** (2009), 587–613

[9] Grundling, H., Rudolph, G.: QCD on an infinite lattice. Commun. Math. Phys. **318**, 717–766 (2013)

[10] Grundling, H.: Quantum constraints. Rep. Math. Phys. **57**, 97-120 (2006)

[11] Grundling, H., and K.-H. Neeb, *Crossed products of C^*-algebras for singular actions*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 5199–5269

[12] J. Huebschmann, G.Rudolph and M. Schmidt: A Gauge Model for Quantum Mechanics on a Stratified Space, Commun. Math. Phys. 286 (2009) 459-494

[13] Jarvis, P. D., Kijowski, J. and Rudolph, G. : On the Structure of the Observable Algebra of QCD on the Lattice. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 (2005) 5359-5377

[14] Kadison, R. V., and Ringrose, J. R., Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras II, New York, Academic Press 1983

[15] Kijowski, J., Rudolph, G.: On the Gauss law and global charge for quantum chromodynamics. J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002) 1796-1808

[16] Kijowski, J., Rudolph, G.: Charge superselection sectors for QCD on the lattice, J. Math. Physics Vol. 46, 032303 (2005)

[17] Kogut, J., Susskind, L.: Hamiltonian formulation of Wilson's lattice gauge theories. Phys. Rev. D **11**, 395–408 (1975)

[18] Kogut, J.: Three Lectures on Lattice Gauge Theory. CLNS-347 (1976), Lecture Series Presented at the International Summer School, McGill University, June 21-26, 1976

[19] Lawson, H.B., Michelson, M-L.: Spin Geometry. Princeton University Press, Princeton 1989

[20] Nachtergaele, B., Sims, R.: Lieb-Robinson bounds in quantum many-body physics. Contemp. Math. 529, p141, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI: (2010).
This published argument contains some errors and omissions. A corrected version of the argument is in [21].

[21] Nachtergaele, B., Sims, R.: On the dynamics of lattice systems with unbounded on-site terms in the Hamiltonian. arXiv:1410.8174v1

[22] G. K. Pedersen, C^*-Algebras and their Automorphism Groups. Academic Press 1989, London

[23] J.–P. Pier, Amenable ocally compact groups. John Wiley & sons 1984, New York.

[24] Reed, M., Simon, B.: Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol 2. Academic Press, San Diego, 1975.

[25] Rieffel, M.A.: On the uniqueness of the Heisenberg commutation relations, Duke Mathematical Journal 39 (1972), 745–752

[26] Schaefer, H., H.: Topological vector spaces. Macmillan company, 1966, New York

[27] Seiler, E.: Gauge Theories as a Problem of Constructive Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics, Lecture Notes in Phys., vol. 159, Springer (1982)
Seiler, E.: "Constructive Quantum Field Theory: Fermions", in Gauge Theories: Fundamental Interactions and Rigorous Results, eds. P. Dita, V. Georgescu, R. Purice

[28] v. Neumann, J. On infinite direct products. Comp. Math. 6, 1–77. Collected Works, Vol. 3, Chapter 6. (ed. A.H. Taub), Pergamon Press, Oxford, New York, Paris 1961.

[29] Wilson, K.G.: Confinement of quarks. Phys. Rev. D10, 2445 (1974)

[30] Wilson, K.G.: Quarks and strings on a lattice, p69 in New phenomena in subnuclear physics. Part A. Proceedings of the International School of Subnuclear Physics, Erice 1975, A. Zichichi (ed.) Plenum Press 1977

[31] Yosida, K.: Functional Analysis. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1980.